

N 7 2 - 2 8 6 8 2

**ADVANCED RTG AND
THERMOELECTRIC MATERIALS STUDY**

**CASE FILE
COPY**

November, 1971

Final Report for Period January-October, 1971

Contract NAS5-11394

Prepared for

**GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER
Greenbelt, Maryland 20771**

Philip E. Eggers

BATTELLE

Columbus Laboratories

505 King Avenue

Columbus, Ohio 43201

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Advanced RTG and Thermoelectric Materials Study		5. Report Date November, 1971	
		6. Performing Organization Code BCL	
7. Author(s) Philip E. Eggers		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address BATTELLE Columbus Laboratories 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201		10. Work Unit No.	
		11. Contract or Grant No. NAS5-11394	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Type III Final Report January 1971 to October 1971	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code 716	
15. Supplementary Notes			
16. Abstract <p>A comprehensive, generalized two-dimensional RTG analysis computer program has been developed. This program is capable of analyzing any specified RTG design under a wide range of transient as well as steady-state operating conditions.</p> <p>The feasibility of a new concept for the design of segmented (or single-phase) thermoelectric couples has been demonstrated. In the present study, a SiGe-PbTe segmented couple involving pressure contacted junctions at the intermediate- and hot-junction temperatures was successfully encapsulated in a hermetically sealed bellows enclosure. This bellows-encapsulated couple was operated between a hot- and cold-junction temperature of 1200 K and 450 K, respectively, with a measured energy-conversion efficiency of $7.6 \pm .5$ percent.</p> <p>An experimental study of selected sublimation barrier schemes revealed that a significant reduction in the sublimation rate of p-type PbTe could be achieved by using multiple layers of SiO₂ fibers. A comparison of the "barrier effectiveness" is given for three different barrier designs.</p>			
17. Key Words (Selected by Author(s)) Thermoelectric Energy Conversion Segmented SiGe-PbTe Couples Transient RTG Analysis Sublimation Barriers		18. Distribution Statement	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 86	22. Price*

PREFACETask I. Development of Computer Program for the
Transient Analysis of Radioisotope Thermoelectric GeneratorsObjective

The overall objective of this task is to modify the existing generalized Space Generator Computer Program (GESPGN) to provide the capability for predicting the output power and temperature profile of an RTG in the presence of time-dependent operating conditions.

Scope of Work

The transient analysis of an arbitrary RTG design has been developed within the framework of the RTG weight optimization computer program GESPGN, which had been developed previously under NAS5-9160 and NAS5-10497. This major revision of the existing computer program enables the analyst to predict the performance of an RTG in both the transient and steady-state operating modes. A total of eight "transients" have been included in the revised computer program (renamed TRANRTG) since they are generally accepted as the principal effects which influence the long- and short-term performance characteristics of RTG's. The transients accommodated by the TRANRTG computer program include:

1. Cold start of RTG during insertion of radioisotope heat source
2. Changing boundary conditions during simulated launch of RTG.

3. Solar flux and/or planetary albedo variations
4. Thermopile degradation
5. Thermal insulation degradation
6. Electrical load fluctuations
7. Surface emittance changes in the radiator heat sink due to micrometeorite damage, etc.
8. Heat source power degradation as a result of radioisotope decay

Conclusions

A comprehensive RTG computer program (TRANRTG) has been successfully developed providing capabilities heretofore unavailable to the RTG analyst. Specifically, the TRANRTG program enables the analyst to optimize the design of an RTG with respect to weight as well as study the effect of specified long- and short-term transients on the RTG's thermal and electrical operating characteristics. This transient analysis computer program is well suited to aid the analyst in either (1) the design and evaluation of future RTG configurations or (2) evaluation of existing RTG designs. For example, the TRANRTG computer program could be used in performing simulation studies involving existing RTG designs such as the SNAP-19. Consequently, with this program, hypothesized RTG degradation mechanisms can be modeled and studied and finally compared with available empirically derived degradation characteristics.

The 2-D TRANRTG computer program developed in this task provides a comprehensive RTG analysis capability. The analyst need only specify materials properties, RTG design requirements, and transient operating conditions. Hence, the application of the TRANRTG program to RTG design and analysis will (1) enhance the understanding of the relative importance various transients on RTG performance, (2) permit RTGs to be optimized more comprehensively than before with respect to both weight and performance stability in the presence of the anticipated transients, and (3) enhance the value of present RTG experimental data (both radioisotope fueled and electrically heated) since these data can be used in conjunction with comprehensive degradation models.

Summary of Recommendations

The results of preliminary checkout runs of the TRANRTG computer program indicate that several analytical studies would be meaningful at this point in the development and qualification of RTG's for present and future missions. First, an analytical study involving presently existing RTG designs (e.g., the SNAP-19 RTG) would permit presently proposed degradation models to be studied and compared with available thermoelectric generator experimental data. For example, degradation phenomena such as erosion of thermoelements with subsequent RTG "thermal runaway" could be readily studied. Second, an analytical study involving future RTG designs (e.g., the multi-hundred-watt RTG) would permit the final design optimization to include factors such as the response of the RTG to the anticipated transient operating conditions. Third, the present computer program could be effectively applied to study transient RTG behavior during launch.

Task II. Fabrication and Performance Testing of SiGe-PbTe Segmented Thermoelectric Generators

Objective

The overall objective of this task is to fabricate segmented SiGe-PbTe segmented couples, perform extended life tests, and measure conversion efficiency.

Scope of Work

The technology used in the fabrication of the SiGe-PbTe couples was drawn from the NASA-Goddard contract, NAS5-21099. These segmented couples were operated in vacuum and incorporate pressure contacted PbTe/W intermediate junctions and SiGe/C hot junctions. The life testing and efficiency measurements were a continuation of evaluative studies initiated for this couple concept under NASA-Goddard contract NAS5-21099. The experimental work performed under this task included:

1. Life testing of SiGe-PbTe segmented couples in the "constant thermal input" test fixture developed previously.
2. Incorporation of selected segmented couple design changes offering improved output power stability, e.g., the utilization of hermetically sealed bellows enclosures.

Conclusions

A new approach to the design of SiGe-PbTe segmented thermoelectric couples, involving hermetically sealed bellows enclosures and pressure-contacted junctions, has been successfully demonstrated. The results of these preliminary studies indicate the feasibility of eliminating the use of conventional spring-loading hardware normally required for thermoelectric converters involving pressure-contacted junctions. The elimination of this conventional approach to providing axial spring-loading pressure is significant as the "spring and follower" has been the source of significant thermal impedances (30 to 50 C difference) between the thermoelectric element and the radiator. In addition, the "spring and follower" design has frequently failed due to seizing at the follower/radiator cold frame interface with subsequent loss of the needed axial loading pressure.

In the present design, Inconel 718 bellows provide a hermetic enclosure for the thermoelectric sleeves as well as the axial loading required to effect low electrical-junction resistances. In addition, the incorporation of pressure-contacted junctions eliminate the need for the complicated transition materials usually required at the interface of

materials possessing greatly differing thermal expansivities. The present design involves pressure-contacted junctions at both the SiGe/PbTe interface and the SiGe/C hot-strap interface. Although the use of pressure-contacted junctions at the SiGe hot-strap interface simplify the overall design of the segmented couple, they also are a potential source of high junction resistance.

The results of preliminary evaluation studies indicate that the "bellows-encapsulated" SiGe-PbTe segmented element concept provides (1) a hermetically sealed enclosure which isolates the thermoelectric element from its environment (typically involving fibrous insulations or metallic foils operating in vacuum), (2) a scheme for providing adequate axial spring-load pressure to effect low junction resistances, (3) a scheme for the "cantilever support" of the thermoelectric elements from the cold frame of the heat sink, and (4) a scheme for operating the thermoelectric elements with internal* cover-gas pressures of up to 75 psia while operating the thermopile in air, vacuum, or other typical RTG operating environments.

The results of theoretical analyses indicate that the present "bellows-encapsulated" SiGe-PbTe segmented couple design offers a conversion efficiency of 7.97 percent**. This calculation includes 11 percent by-pass heat loss in the 4.5-mil-thick Inconel 718 bellows. The results of preliminary conversion efficiency tests yielded a measured couple efficiency of 7.65 percent. This value is 10-20 percent higher than that reported*** for SiGe alone operating at the same temperatures. Hence, these results support the predicted improvement in conversion efficiency expected for "segmented" SiGe-PbTe couples.

The life testing of the "bellows-encapsulated" SiGe-PbTe segmented couples was limited by present inadequacies in the design of the hot-strap/hot-shoe/SiGe junctions. Hence, in the absence of experimental results, no conclusions can be formed regarding the stability of the output power and efficiency of this segmented couple concept. However, the present approach to thermoelectric couple design potentially offers an ideal condition for operating thermoelectric elements. Specifically, the hermetically sealed bellows enclosure allows the thermoelectric element to be operated independently of the thermopile environment which is generally the principal source for gaseous contamination (outgassing of thermal insulation) and/or evaporative erosion (vacuum environments).

* within the hermetically sealed bellows

** operating at cold and hot junction temperatures of 175 C and 900 C, respectively

*** "Silicon Germanium Materials and Module Development Program", Electronics Components Division of RCA, AEC contract AT(29-2)-2510.

Summary of Recommendations

The results of preliminary experimental studies involving the bellows-encapsulated SiGe-PbTe segmented couple indicate a need for additional developmental efforts in the areas of the SiGe/hot-shoe/hot-strap junctions. All other aspects of the present concept proved successful during the preliminary proof-of-concept experiments.

A supplementary effort is recommended for the extension of the bellows-encapsulated concept to thermopile designs involving a single stage thermoelement such as the oxygen-sensitive 2p PbTe and 3p PbSnTe materials and the relatively volatile TAGS-85 thermoelectric alloy.

Task III. Study of Sublimation Barriers for Vacuum Operation of Thermoelectric Elements

Objective

The overall objective of this task is to study the relative effectiveness of selected materials and techniques in suppressing sublimation of thermoelectric materials in vacuum environment.

Scope of Work

The effect of a mechanical barrier, e.g., a mica sleeve surrounding a thermoelement in reducing the rate of sublimation at the hot junction was disclosed in a preliminary study by J. W. Killian of NSRDC.* A more comprehensive study has been undertaken in this task in order to determine the effectiveness of selected "packing" materials and "barrier" techniques in the suppression of sublimation of thermoelectric materials operating in vacuum. The experiments involved ingradient operation of the thermoelectric element in small-volume systems with selected packing materials and barrier techniques applied. The effectiveness of the sublimation barrier technique was experimentally evaluated by (1) weight-loss measurements, (2) observation of dimensional changes of thermoelectric elements, and (3) posttest Seebeck coefficient traverse measurements.

Both thermal insulating materials (e.g., microquartz fibers) and mica sleeving were evaluated in this study in order to assess their barrier effectiveness.

*Killian, J. W., "Method to Arrest Weight Loss of PbTe at Elevated Temperatures in Vacuum", 3rd Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference Proceedings, August, 1968, p. 272.

Conclusions

An effective sublimation barrier scheme has been identified which permits stable operation of materials such as 2p PbTe in vacuum at hot-junction temperatures of up to ~ 535 C for extended periods. The most effective sublimation barrier scheme studied involves a shroud of close-packed oxide fibers wrapped around a mica sleeve enclosing the thermoelectric element. The results of ingradient operation of 2p PbTe in vacuum indicate a weight loss of 0.01 to 0.04 percent after ~ 450 hrs at a hot-junction temperature of 530 C. This rate of weight loss is a factor of 10 to 40 times lower than that observed for identical experiments involving a close-fitting mica sleeve. Hence, on the basis of a percent weight loss, the oxide-fiber shroud over mica sleeve barrier scheme is far superior to the other barrier schemes investigated for 2p PbTe. A more meaningful interpretation of these results is afforded by translating the observed rate of evaporative erosion of thermoelectric material (weight loss) to a rate of electrical resistance increase (due to decreased cross-sectional area in the vicinity of the hot junction). For the present experimental conditions, the 0.04 percent weight loss in ~ 450 hrs corresponds to a total element resistance increase of less than 0.2 percent in ~ 450 hrs. Hence, the present studies have identified a potential approach for operating "volatile" thermoelectric materials such as 2p PbTe for extended periods (1-3 years) with tolerably low evaporative erosion, i.e., resistance increase. Furthermore, the present sublimation barrier scheme would significantly reduce the rate of evaporative erosion of thermoelectric materials operated in inert atmospheres, e.g., the TAGS-85 materials operating in Ar/He atmospheres.

Summary of Recommendations

The encouraging results obtained in short-term (~ 450 hr), ingradient sublimation tests suggest the need for longer test periods. This need arises from the fact that the rate of evaporative erosion is not necessarily linear with time. For example, as evaporative erosion proceeds, the total peripheral surface area of the thermoelectric element in the hot-junction region decreases, the subsequent rate of evaporation tends to decrease. However, in an actual generator (neglecting the effect of radioisotope decay), the evaporative erosion causes an increase in the thermal impedance in the hot-junction region of the thermoelectric element, hence increasing the hot-junction temperature and the rate of evaporative erosion, with time. Furthermore, in the case of the oxide-fiber shroud barrier scheme, the evaporative erosion may be progressively self-inhibiting since the fiber interstices may accumulate sublimation deposits which may impede further sublimation.

Thus, the significant reductions in evaporative erosion rates observed for short test periods (~ 450 hr) should be extended to ~ 1000 - and ~ 5000 -hr test periods. These extended test periods will not only

evaluate the present schemes for long-duration operation but will provide empirical information regarding the rate of change of the evaporate rate over extended periods. Hence, the next series of sublimation experiments would provide the data necessary to predict the adequacy of the selected barrier design for substantially greater periods of operation (20,000 hrs or more).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Significant contributions were made by Dr. John L. Ridihalgh (computer program development) and Messrs. John J. Mueller and Arnold G. Carter (design, development, and fabrication of bellows-encapsulated SiGe-PbTe segment couples). Grateful acknowledgements are also due Mr. Si Manson of NASA-Headquarters who has provided many valuable discussions during the course of this program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCUSSION	<u>Page</u> 1
Task I. Development of Computer Program for the Transient Analysis of Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators.	1
Introduction	1
Development of Analytical Model.	1
Input/Output	10
Task II. Fabrication and Performance Testing of SiGe-PbTe Segmented Thermoelectric Generators.	12
Introduction	12
Design of Segmented Couple	13
Design of Bellows Enclosure.	15
Fabrication of Bellows-Encapsulated Segmented Elements .	19
Experimental Results	22
Task III. Study of Sublimation Barriers for Vacuum Operation of Thermoelectric Elements.	27
Introduction	27
Development of Sublimation Barrier Schemes	27
Experimental Procedure	29
Experimental Results	32
REFERENCES	34
APPENDIX A	
USERS MANUAL FOR TRANRTG RTG TRANSIENT ANALYSIS COMPUTER PROGRAM	A-1
APPENDIX B	
SAMPLE TRANRTG OUTPUT.	B-1

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF RTG TRANSIENT OPERATING CONDITIONS.	2
TABLE 2. COMPUTED DIMENSIONS AND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS FOR SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED COUPLES.	16

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 3. COMPUTED AND MEASURED PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS FOR BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED COUPLES	26
TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF WEIGHT LOSS FOR 2p-PbTe ELEMENTS OPERATED IN VACUUM AND INVOLVING SELECTED SUBLIMATION BARRIER SCHEMES.	33

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE 1. TRANSIENT RTG MAIN PROGRAM FLOW CHART	4
FIGURE 2. LONGITUDINAL VIEW OF RTG SHOWING REGION TO BE INCLUDED IN HEAT-TRANSFER ANALYSIS,	7
FIGURE 3. TWO-DIMENSIONAL ANALYTICAL MODEL FOR HEAT TRANSFER ANALYSIS OF RTG DURING TRANSIENT OPERATION.	8
FIGURE 4. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED THERMOELECTRIC ELEMENT FOR USE IN LIFE-TEST EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT APPARATUS	14
FIGURE 5. HEAT LOSS AS A FUNCTION OF SEGMENTED SiGe-PbTe THERMOELECTRIC ELEMENT LENGTH FOR SEVERAL BELLOWS WALL THICKNESSES AND HEAT-SINK-EXTENSION LENGTHS	18
FIGURE 6. COMPOSITE VIEW OF SEGMENTED SiGe-PbTe ELEMENT INCLUDING PbTe SEGMENT, W INTERMEDIATE SHOE, SiGe SEGMENT AND GRAPHITE HOT SHOE	20
FIGURE 7. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS SHOWING N1 HOT STRAP AND EVALUATION/FILL TUBES.	21
FIGURE 8. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS FOLLOWING EVACUATION, BACKFILL WITH ARGON, AND CLOSURE.	23
FIGURE 9. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS WITH SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS ATTACHED TO HEAT-FLUX TRANSDUCER/HEAT-SINK ASSEMBLY	23
FIGURE 10. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS SHOWING THERMAL INSULATION AND HEAT-SOURCE/SPECIMEN/HEAT-SINK CAVITY.	23

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 11. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPRATUS WITH SPECIMEN IN PLACE (SPECIMEN INSIDE THERMAL INSULATION CAVITY IS NOT VISIBLE IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH)	24
FIGURE 12. LIFE-TEST EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS.	25
FIGURE 13. BASIC SPECIMEN CONFIGURATION FOR SUBLIMATION-BARRIER STUDIES.	28
FIGURE 14. BARRIER SCHEMES FOR SUPPRESSING SUBLIMATION OF THERMOELECTRIC MATERIALS	30
FIGURE 15. AMPOULE TEST FIXTURE (REVISED DESIGN).	31
FIGURE B-1 CALCULATED RTG NORMALIZED POWER VERSUS TIME.	

DISCUSSION

Task I. Development of Computer Program for the Transient Analysis of Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generators

Introduction

The application of radioisotope thermoelectric generators (RTG's) to space electrical power requirements has been successfully demonstrated during the past 5 years. During this period, the knowledge of thermopile-degradation mechanisms has increased significantly and afforded insight into the ultimate stability of the thermoelectric components. Most of the extra-terrestrial applications (usually ≤ 1 -year missions) of RTG's to date have involved relatively stable operating conditions with only a limited number of imposed transient operating conditions. For example, the NIMBUS RTG's in earth orbit experience transients due principally to modest changes in planetary albedo and incident solar flux. However, future missions will subject RTG's to multiple transient conditions due to (1) length of the mission (3-12 years), hence, significant changes in the thermal inventory due to radioisotope decay as well as thermopile degradation, (2) increased distance from the sun, hence incident flux decrease with time, and (3) change in radiator emittance/reflectance due to micrometeorite damage.

The present computer program* has been developed to meet the growing need for predicting the thermal and electrical operating characteristics of given RTG designs in the presence of one or several transient conditions. The following discussion discloses the complex nature of the present RTG transient analysis program. The complexities derive from the interdependencies of the thermopile performance, RTG temperature profile, thermal conductance of the thermopile, thermal inventory, and radiator boundary conditions.

Development of Analytical Model

The first step in the development of the transient RTG computer program (so called TRANRTG) involved an examination of the principal transient effects to be studied together with the affected independent and dependent variables. The results of this examination are summarized in Table 1 and reveal two significant characteristics of the selected transient effects. First, the transient effects can be subdivided into two general classes of transients, viz, the "equilibrium" and "nonequilibrium" classifications, according to the time characteristic of their source. For example, the thermal decay of typical radioisotopes used in RTG's occurs at a sufficiently low rate to assume that the RTG operates under equilibrium conditions. In this case, the analysis of the RTG can be effected by performing steady-state thermal analyses at selected time intervals using the properly adjusted thermal inventory. However, the

* Copies of this computer program are available from the NASA-Goddard Space Flight Center.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF RTG TRANSIENT OPERATING CONDITIONS

Transient Effect	Source(s)	Independent Variable(s)	Dependent Variables	Classification of Transient
I. Decrease in thermal inventory	Radioisotope decay	Q_{HS}	$T_{RAD}, T_c, T_H, Q_{LOSS}, P_E, \delta P_E / \delta t$	Equilibrium
II. Change in radiator temperature	Incident solar flux Planetary albedo Radiator surface/emittance changes	T_{RAD}	T_c, T_H $Q_{LOSS}, P_E, \delta P_E / \delta t$	Nonequilibrium Nonequilibrium Equilibrium
III. Change in Seebeck coefficient, electrical resistivity, thermal conductivity, and contact resistivity of T/E materials	Thermopile degradation	S, ρ, k, ρ_c (n and p-leg)	T_c, T_H, T_{RAD}	Equilibrium
IV. Change in heat losses	Change in thermal conductivity of insulation components	k_{INS}	$Q_{LOSS}, P_E, \delta P_E / \delta t$ T_c, T_H, T_{RAD}	Equilibrium
V. Changes in electrical load	Variation in duty cycle	R_{LOAD}	T_c, T_H, T_{RAD} $Q_{LOSS}, P_E, \delta P_E / \delta t$	Nonequilibrium and equilibrium
VI. Initial startup, i.e., cold start	"Fueling" of RTG	Q_{HS}	T_c, T_H, T_{RAD} Q_{LOSS}, P_E	Nonequilibrium
VII. Reduction in contact area and of T/E elements' cross-sectional area	Sublimation of T/E materials	A_x (n- and p-leg)	$T_c, T_H, T_{RAD}, Q_{LOSS}, P_E, \delta P_E / \delta t$	Equilibrium
VIII. Changing boundary conditions	Launch profile of RTG	h, T_b	T_c, T_H, T_{RAD}, P_E	Nonequilibrium

(a) Q_{HS} - thermal inventory of heat source. Q_{LOSS} - heat losses through insulation, gaps, module cladding, supports T_{RAD} - fin root temperature of radiator T_c - Cold-junction temperature of thermopile T_H - hot-junction temperature of thermopile h - radiator convection and conduction heat transfer coefficient T_b - boundary temperature P_E - electrical output power of thermopile R_{LOAD} - external electrical load k_{INS} - thermal conductivity of thermal insulation $\delta P_E / \delta t$ - rate of output power degradation S, ρ, k, ρ_c - Seebeck coefficient, electrical resistivity, thermal conductivity, and electrical contact resistivity of thermoelements. A_x - cross-sectional area as a function of position on thermoelement.

duration of solar incident flux (or planetary albedo) may be sufficiently small that the RTG operates under nonequilibrium conditions. In this case, the analysis of the RTG can be effected only by performing transient thermal analysis during the initial period (or, the entire duration) of the incident thermal flux.

Secondly, one of the transient effects, viz, the solar incident flux (or planetary albedo), has an asymmetric effect on the operation of the RTG. For example, a solar flux imposed on one side of the RTG may result in significantly higher operating temperatures. Hence, the performance of the RTG, viz, the output power of the thermopile, may vary with position relative to the region of incident flux. In order to assess the importance of asymmetric effects, an initial analysis of RTG performance was effected by modeling the entire RTG to include circumferential as well as radial and longitudinal heat transfer. Although the generator casing or shell is often thick enough to effectively distribute the localized incident heat flux over the entire shell surface, the radiator fins must dissipate essentially all incident heat flux. The above considerations have become the preliminary basis for the development of the RTG analytical model.

The next step in the model development involved the integration of the various analysis routines needed for the transient analysis. The principal analysis routines included in TRANRTG are (1) GESPGN RTG weight optimization program, (2) OFFOPT thermoelectric performance analysis program, and (3) TRUMP numerical differencing heat-transfer program. The interaction of these analysis routines is shown schematically in Figure 1. Functionally speaking, the OFFOPT computer program will initially compute the thermopile operating characteristics based on the initial operating temperatures, thermoelectric properties, external load, junction resistances, and thermoelement length and cross-sectional area. The results of the initial thermoelectric analysis together with user input design constraints are next transferred to the GESPGN program. The GESPGN program initially designs a partially or fully weight-optimized RTG. At this point, the collective results from OFFOPT and GESPGN are transferred to a model-generation program (DATAGEN) which translates these above results into a network thermal model and in a format compatible with the input requirements of the TRUMP heat-transfer program. A sequence of initializing refinements to the thermal model follow (see Figure 1) which affords congruency between the RTG "designed" by OFFOPT and GESPGN, and the thermal model created and analyzed by DATAGEN and TRUMP. After "initialization" has been completed, the user's supplied transient data sets are sequentially processed in OFFOPT (see flow chart shown in Figure 1). In this final phase of the transient analysis, the OFFOPT thermoelectric analysis program is coupled to the TRUMP heat-transfer analysis program in order to initially provide (and adjust with subsequent transients) the "effective" thermal conductivity of the thermopile

*The "effective" thermal conductivity of thermoelectric elements under conditions of finite current flow differs from the conventional material thermal conductivity since Peltier, Thomson, and Joulean thermal transport terms (or sources) need be considered, as well as heat transfer by conventional thermal-conduction process.




FIGURE 1. TRANSIENT RTG (TRANRTG) MAIN PROGRAM FLOW CHART

<u>OVERLAY</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION/REFERENCE</u>
0	TRANRTG	Main control program; sequentially calls each of the overlays in order to initialize the problem and finally perform transient analyses. (This program was developed under present study)
1	GESPGN	RTG design optimization program for either (1) detailed weight optimization of RTG or (2) generation of required input data for specified (e.g., previously optimized) RTG. (See Eggers, P. E., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", NASA-GSFC Contract No. NAS 5-10497, Final Report, September, 1968; also "The Analysis and Design of a High-Temperature Thermoelectric Conversion Device", BAT-5-6397-2, Final Report for Contract NAS 5-36971, 1965)
2	DATAGEN	Converts thermoelectric performance parameters/dimensions computed in OFFOPT and RTG dimensions computed in GESPGN to input format compatible with TRUMP heat-transfer computer program. Also, DATAGEN computes radiation view factors for radiator system including shell/fin interactions. (This program was developed under present study)
3	OFFOPT	Performs detailed thermopile thermoelectric analysis using finite-staging energy-balance techniques. (See Best, R. E., "Development of an Analysis Technique for Predicting the Operating Characteristics of Thermoelectric Heat Engines", Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering, Ohio State University, 1970); "Progress on the Development of Segmented PbTe-Bi _x Te Thermoelectric Modules", AEC Contract W-7405-eng-92, BMI Report No. BMI-1794 (January, 1967).
4	TRUMP	Performs heat transfer of the RTG thermal model constructed in DATAGEN. (See Edwards, A. L., "TRUMP Computer Program", Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report Number UCRL-14754 Revision II, 1969)

FIGURE 1. (Continued)

and the electrical output power, i.e., the amount of thermal energy converted to electrical energy. The effective thermal conductivity of the thermopile is a significant parameter in the thermal analysis since (1) 80 to 90 percent of the thermal inventory is transferred through the thermopile and (2) the effective thermal conductivity of the thermopile can vary substantially with changes in the external load, changes in the thermal and electrical properties of the thermoelectric materials, or changes in the operating temperatures.

The design of the RTG thermal model for purposes of heat-transfer analysis is critical since (1) too coarse a nodal network structure will render the model unresponsive to real transient effects and (2) too fine a nodal network structure will make the computer costs (for a comprehensive RTG transient analysis) prohibitively high. One step taken to reduce the overall number of nodes (hence, computational costs) in the model involved the replacement of a three-dimensional model of the actual system by a two-dimensional model. A review of previous studies* of typical axial temperature profiles of an RTG indicated that the axial temperature gradient in the region of interest, viz, the region including the thermoelectric modules or elements, could be neglected by using average temperatures for the cross-sectional temperature profile. Hence, the present heat-transfer analysis model for the RTG was reduced from a 3-D geometry (see Figure 2) to a 2-D geometry (see Figure 3).

The analysis model shown in Figure 3 includes the major components present in a typical RTG. Although not shown, the nodal network of this model includes the entire cross section of the RTG. This 2π geometry was initially required in order for the analysis to accommodate the asymmetric temperature profile that will be induced when solar flux or planetary albedo is incident on one side of the RTG.

As discussed above, steps were taken in the design of the RTG heat-transfer model to insure that the nodal network be fine enough, i.e., sufficiently detailed to permit meaningful transient heat-transfer analyses while not requiring prohibitively long (i.e., expensive) computer running times. However, in addition to the above computer-running-time (cost) considerations, it was also necessary to rationally select** the energy-balance criteria that must be specified within the TRUMP heat-transfer computer program. These criteria are critical in that they represent the tradeoff between the "accuracy" of the computed temperature profiles (for the RTG model) and the computer "running time" consumed in the course of the heat-transfer analysis. The computer running time required

* Eggers, P. E., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", NASA-Goddard Contract NAS5-10497, September, 1968.

** This process involves using the TRUMP program to perform a series of heat-transfer analyses on typical RTG designs.




FIGURE 2. LONGITUDINAL VIEW OF RTC SHOWING REGION INCLUDED IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL HEAT-TRANSFER ANALYSIS (Shaded Region)




FIGURE 3. 2-D MODEL FOR THERMAL ANALYSIS OF RTG'S

for the heat-transfer analyses is particularly acute in the present case since they will be repeatedly performed at each stage of the transient analysis of a given RTG.

Modeling the Radiator. The thermal modeling of the RTG radiator component* involves the computation of radiation interchange factors or "view factors" for a given number, height of radiator fins and generator shell diameter. These view factors allow the thermal model to accurately represent the radiation interchange between radiator fins. However, the computer makes an adjustment to the effective radiator surface available during the "initialization" phase. This adjustment is necessary since the two-dimensional "slice" out of the RTG (see Figure 2) does not include the heat-dissipation area normally available at the end regions of the RTG. This adjustment in no way interferes with obtaining realistic responses of the radiator component to changes in boundary conditions or surface emittance/reflectance conditions.

A series of 2π geometry heat-transfer analyses was performed in the present study to assess the effects of asymmetric solar flux on the circumferential temperature distribution of typical RTG's. The results of this study indicated that, at distances of one astronomical unit (9.287×10^7 mi) or greater from the sun, and for radiator reflectances of ≥ 0.75 , asymmetric effects on the temperature profile of the RTG were on the order of a fraction of a percent and can therefore be neglected. The ability to neglect asymmetric effects is an important simplification in the present computer program since it permits the analyst to construct a thermal model involving a "sector" of the total RTG cross section (see Figure 3) as opposed to the complete 2π geometry of the thermal model. In terms of computer running time, this simplification of the transient RTG analysis will result in a five- to ten-fold reduction in running time.

Modeling the Thermopile. In the process of adapting the OFFOPT thermoelectric analysis subprogram** to the present RTG transient-analysis program, major refinements in the treatment of certain degradation phenomena were made. The first refinement was adding the capability for accommodating sublimation of thermoelectric materials. This capability permits the analyst to study the effects of sublimation (e.g., the loss of thermal and electrical contact area as well as increased electrical resistance in the eroded region) by specifying only the temperature-dependent evaporation rate. The OFFOPT computer program automatically adjusts for induced changes in the temperature distribution along the thermoelectric element as well as the changes in the peripheral surface area available for evaporation. This particular refinement will permit the analyst to evaluate the effect of thermoelement sublimation on RTG performance.

* See reference for GESPGN in Figure 1.

** See reference for OFFOPT in Figure 1.

Another refinement to the OFFOPT thermoelectric analysis subprogram introduces the capability to input empirically derived thermoelectric property changes, with time. These changes may include the Seebeck coefficient, electrical resistivity, electrical contact resistivity, and/or thermal conductivity as determined by various diagnostic techniques such as laser-pulse thermal-diffusivity techniques, traversing thermocouple thermal-conductivity measurement techniques, van der Pauw electrical resistivity measurement techniques, and "miniature-specimen" Seebeck coefficient measurement techniques.* In order to make this aspect of the RTG transient analysis more realistic while not placing unreasonable demands on previously measured thermoelectric-property measurements, the normalized** property changes are introduced in four regions of the element. For example, posttest thermoelectric-property measurements may reveal that the p-type leg decreases in Seebeck coefficient and electrical resistivity by 10, 4, 1, and 0 percent in the hot, medium-hot, medium-cold, and cold regions of the element, respectively. By introducing these measured and normalized property changes (probably with an attendant change in thermal conductivity) to the TRANRTG code, the analyst is able to study the effect(s) of thermoelectric degradation on RTG performance with or without the presence of other transient effects.

Changes in the external load of the RTG can be simulated by specifying a normalized change in the external load in the degradation data set. OFFOPT is designed to compute the appropriate thermoelectric-performance parameters based on an external load ranging from open-circuit conditions to short-circuit conditions. The TRUMP heat-transfer program can accommodate this transient operating condition and, by interacting with OFFOPT (which supplies the appropriate effective thermopile thermal conductivity) provides the analyst with time/temperature profiles and time/thermopile performance profiles during the transient period.

Input/Output

The input data for the TRANRTG computer program can be divided into four groups as follows: (1) a set of permanent data containing radiator design parameters for GESPGN; (2) a set of thermoelectric data including thermoelectric property data, initial external load, initial operating temperatures, junction resistances, coefficients and exponents for evaporative erosion, and radioisotope halflife; (3) a set of data to specify the constraints for the RTG design optimization, or simply a specific RTG design if no design optimization is desired; and (4) one or more degradation data sets specifying accumulative elapsed time, normalized changes in thermoelectric properties, emittance changes, multiplying factors for evaporative erosion rates, temperature exponents of contact resistivity, normalized thermal insulation thermal conductivity changes, normalized external load changes, and incident solar flux.

* These diagnostic methods have been developed at Battelle's Columbus Laboratories under previous thermoelectric contracts.

** Normalized property changes determined by dividing property value at time t , by property value at time t_0 , corresponding to beginning of life of RTG.

The output data from TRANRTG include principally (1) the initial thermopile performance parameters, (2) the results of RTG design optimization, characteristics corresponding to various transient conditions or degraded conditions for the RTG. The results of sample transient analysis calculations that appear in Appendix B illustrate the detailed output data display provided by the TRANRTG computer program. Specifically the transient output data include the (1) elapsed time, (2) thermoelectric couple and overall RTG output power and energy conversion efficiency, (3) normalized RTG output power, (4) specific power, internal resistance, and electrical current of RTG, (5) thermoelectric properties and element cross-sectional dimensions as a function of temperature and axial position, and (6) temperature profile of the RTG sector analyzed (corresponding to the thermal model shown in Figure 3). Hence, the present computer program provides a prediction of the RTG operating characteristics and thermopile condition (thermophysical properties and dimensions) at selected intervals of time.

Sample Transient Analyses

The results of a sample set of transient analyses of a 250-watt(e) RTG involving radioisotope decay and sublimation of the thermoelements are in Appendix A. The RTG selected for these analyses is characterized by (1) 2p - and 2n - PbTe thermoelements, (2) nominal cold- and hot-junction temperatures of 500 and 800 K, respectively, and (3) sublimation rates over the range 0 to 3 percent of the free-sublimation rate for PbTe. The results of sample calculations such as shown in Figure 5 could be compared with observed RTG operative characteristics in order to verify or refute a given set of hypothesized degradation mechanism(s). Once the degradation mechanism(s) have been confirmed, the hypothesis may be applied to RTG designs of differing configurations in order to predict expected RTG performance under anticipated transient conditions (as summarized in Table 1).

No comparison of the predicted RTG performance with observed RTG performance profiles can be made at the present writing since a meaningful comparison requires a thorough knowledge of all of the mechanisms contributing to the overall change in RTG performance. Specifically, a thorough comparative analysis would require (1) RTG operating characteristics (open-circuit voltage, internal resistance, output power, and possibly thermopile temperatures) as a function of time and (2) posttest measurements of element cross-sectional dimensions as a function of axial position, (3) posttest measurements of thermal-insulation thermal conductivity, and (4) assessment of changes in thermoelectric properties and junction resistances (based on posttest measurements). It is noteworthy, however, that the principal analysis subroutines used in the TRANRTG computer program, i.e., the OFFOPT thermoelectric analysis subroutine and the TRUMP thermal-analysis subroutine, have been qualified by independent comparative analysis studies.**

* Bates, H. E. and Weinstein, M., "Evaporation Rates of PbTe and PbSnTe Pressed and Sintered Thermoelements", Proceedings of IEEE/AlAA Thermoelectric Specialists Conference, Washington, D.C. (May, 1966).

** See references (10) and (11) on page 34.

Task II. Fabrication and Performance Testing of SiGe-PbTe Segmented Thermoelectric Generators

Introduction

Theoretical analyses have shown that SiGe and PbTe thermoelectric materials, when used in segmented-element form, offer a significantly higher energy-conversion efficiency than is obtainable with either material used separately. Such analyses, however, presuppose the existence of a segmented-couple configuration with low contact resistance. A thorough evaluation of the requirements for segmenting SiGe and PbTe has revealed the following associated problems: (1) SiGe and PbTe differ in thermal expansivity by a factor of four which, therefore, complicates the direct bonding of each material to a common intermediate transition member, (2) the processing temperatures associated with the bonding of metal shoes to SiGe and PbTe are not in general, compatible, and (3) the selection of candidate shoe materials for PbTe, particularly p-type PbTe, is limited by its tendency to be poisoned by most metals.

Previous efforts to effect low-contact-resistance bonds at the SiGe/PbTe interface have met with only limited success, with mechanical failure frequently occurring in handling or the thermal cycling experienced during subsequent evaluative testing. However, a totally new concept in the design of segmented couples has been developed which incorporates pressure-contacted junctions at the SiGe/PbTe and SiGe/hot-strap interfaces. Thus, the complicated transition members, which are otherwise required to join materials of greatly differing thermal expansivities, have been eliminated. In the present concept, the W/SiGe bonded composite is pressure contacted to PbTe and exhibits an electrical contact resistivity of only 100 to 200 $\mu\text{ohm-cm}^2$ at 800 K--which is equivalent to a loss of 20 to 40 milliwatts of output power for thermoelectric couples operating at a current flux of $\sim 10 \text{ amps/cm}^2$.

In the present study, hermetically sealing bellows enclosures, i.e., "modules", have been introduced to implement the SiGe-PbTe segmented-couple concept involving pressure-contacted junctions. The bellows enclosures provide (1) the spring-loading pressure required to effect low-resistance, pressure-contacted junctions, (2) a means for isolating the individual thermoelectric elements from the potential sources of contamination within the thermoelectric generator, and (3) a means for operating the thermoelectric elements at inert-gas overpressures of 75 to 150 psia.

The advantages of operating thermoelectric elements at an overpressure of an inert gas have already been demonstrated by research conducted by Sandia Laboratories.* Specifically, it has been shown that the rate of evaporative erosion of thermoelectric materials is approximately inversely proportional to the inert-gas pressure. For example, by increasing the internal pressure of the thermoelectric-element environment from 15 psia to 60 psia, the evaporation rate would decrease by 75 percent.

* References are listed on page 34

Present generator designs limit the internal pressure to usually 30 psia or less. However, as mentioned above, the miniature bellows which encapsulate the thermoelectric elements are designed to withstand internal pressures of up to 150 psia while maintaining the desired amount of spring-loading pressure.

An additional advantage of the hermetically sealed bellows module concept is that of minimizing the possibility of gaseous contamination, e.g., from oxygen-contaminated thermal insulation materials. Studies performed by the author⁽²⁾ have revealed that the preferential Te sublimation rate in p-type PbTe thermoelectric materials is as much as several orders of magnitude higher in an oxygen-containing argon atmosphere than in a pure inert or reducing atmosphere. Based on our findings⁽²⁾, this preferential sublimation of Te from the p-type PbTe has been found to be the principal contribution to degradation during thermoelectric life tests. On the other hand, the operation of PbTe thermoelectric couples at a hot-junction temperature of 750 K in a reducing hydrogen atmosphere permitted stable operation (<10 percent degradation in output power) for periods in excess of 18,000 hr. According to the author's hypothesized degradation model⁽²⁾, the hermetically sealed module concept will afford a comparable or better level of performance stability.

The availability of materials suitable for use in fabricating the bellows is one of the most critical factors in demonstrating the feasibility of this enclosure concept. Studies performed under NASA Contract NAS3-0421⁽³⁾ have indicated that Inconel 718 shows adequately low relaxation and good performance in vacuum at temperatures of 1000 F for periods exceeding 2000 hr. Hence, the key component in this concept, viz, the bellows, was fabricated using Inconel 718. The convoluted section of the bellows was used only up to 1000 F; straight tubing was used between that point and the hot platen (see Figure 4). The increased heat-path length afforded by the bellows convolutions together with the low thermal conductivity of Inconel 718 (~ 0.20 watts/cm C at 500 C) results in moderate bypass heat losses (~ 10 to 15 percent). The remaining components are presently accepted materials for use in a thermoelectric SiGe-PbTe segmented couple draw on previously developed technology. ~~The principal exception is the SiGe/hot-strap design which was~~ designed with a graphite hot shoe; the use of MoSi₂ hot-strap technology associated with RCA air-vac thermocouple technology would be expected to result in improved hot-junction performance.

In the following discussion, attention is focussed on the design, fabrication, and evaluation of the SiGe-PbTe segmented couples involving hermetically sealing bellows enclosures.

Design of Segmented Couple

The design of the SiGe-PbTe segmented couple presented below has evolved based on technology developed over the course of several NASA-Goddard




FIGURE 4. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED THERMOELECTRIC ELEMENT FOR USE IN LIFE-TEST EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT APPARATUS

sponsored research programs (4-7). One encapsulated element of this segmented couple design (3) (see Figure 4) features pressure-contacted junctions (1) between the PbTe segments and the tungsten shoe (which is bonded to the cold end of the SiGe segments) and (2) between the SiGe segments and the high-purity graphite hot strap. The use of a pressure-contacted junction eliminates the problem of mismatched thermal expansivities encountered in previous SiGe-PbTe segmented-couple development. In addition, this design simplifies the couple-fabrication schedule since the SiGe and PbTe segments can be processed separately and assembled upon installation of the couple(s) into either a generator or test fixture. In addition, an axial, compressive-loading pressure 125 to 150 psi is required during the operation of the segmented couple and is effected through the use of specially designed bellows enclosures. This level of axial loading pressure is necessary to effect low resistance at the pressure-contacted junctions.

Specifically, a theoretical analysis was performed using the OFFOPT computer program in order to identify the dimensions of the SiGe and PbTe segments yielding maximum energy-conversion efficiency for a given overall element length. The input data used for the PbTe (2p- and 2n-PbTe) segments were based on Seebeck coefficient, electrical resistivity, thermal conductivity, and electrical contact resistivity measured at Battelle's Columbus Laboratories. The input data for the SiGe (containing 80 percent Si) segments was based on RCA-published data. The results of the calculations are summarized in Table 2 in terms of operating temperatures, couple dimensions, output power, and conversion efficiency. These elements were sized for insertion into the bellows assemblies described in detail below.

Design of Bellows Enclosure

The next step in the development of a bellows-encapsulated segmented couple involved the optimization of the design of the bellows enclosure. First, a literature search was conducted in order to identify candidate materials for use in construction of the bellows. It was found that, in studies performed under NASA Contract NAS3-9421 (8) involving 6-8 metals and alloys, Inconel 718 exhibited the lowest relaxation when operated in vacuum at temperatures of 1000 F for periods in excess of 2000 hr. Based on these results, and its other desired mechanical properties, Inconel 718 was selected for use in the fabrication of the bellows enclosures.

Having identified the material to be used in fabrication of the bellows, the next step involved optimizing the wall thickness of the bellows. The principal considerations in optimizing the wall thickness included (1) the by-pass heat losses should be minimized, hence, the wall thickness should be minimized, (2) the spring-loading capability of the bellows requires certain minimum wall thickness, and (3) the resistance to creep and creep rupture should be maximized, hence the wall thickness should be maximized (to minimize applied force per unit area).

A heat-transfer analysis was performed based on the model shown

TABLE 2. COMPUTED DIMENSIONS AND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS FOR
SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED COUPLES

T_C	=	450 K
T_{INT}	=	800 K
T_H	=	1175 K
A_P	=	0.535 cm^2
A_N	=	0.535 cm^2
L_N (SiGe)	=	1.25 cm
L_N (PbTe)	=	0.45 cm
L_P (SiGe)	=	1.30 cm
L_P (PbTe)	=	0.40 cm
Thickness (hot strap)	=	0.234 cm
I	=	7.0 amps
P	=	1.30 watts
$\eta_{T/E}$	=	7.97 percent*

* Accounts for heat losses through bellows.

Note:

T = temperatures

A = cross sectional areas

L = lengths

I = operating current

P = output power (electrical)

$\eta_{T/E}$ = conversion efficiency

N, P = n-type and p-type thermoelements

C, INT, H = cold-, intermediate-, and hot-junction
temperatures, respectively

in Figure 4* for SiGe-PbTe segmented elements. The purpose of this analysis was to estimate the "bypass" heat losses through the bellows wall for various bellows wall thicknesses, thermoelectric element lengths, and heat-sink extension lengths. It is noteworthy that the heat-sink extension length, λ' , is that length which extends above the base of the bellows and is adjacent to the bellows (see Figure 4). The purpose of the heat-sink extension is to increase the effective heat-transfer-path length through the bellows. The results of these heat-transfer analyses are summarized in Figure 5 and illustrate the expected influence of bellows wall thickness and heat-sink extension length, λ' . The influence of thermoelectric element length on the heat loss through the bellows is the combined result of (1) the decreasing thermal flux through the thermoelectric element with increasing length, hence, the bypass losses become a greater fraction of the total heat transferred and (2) the increasing heat-transfer path length with increasing element length. As can be seen from Figure 5 the heat-transfer path-length effect is dominated by the thermal flux effect. The net result is an increasing fractional heat loss through the bellows with increasing element length.

The selection of a typical thermoelectric element length of 1.7 cm, a heat-sink extension length** of 1.2 cm, and a bellows wall thickness*** of 0.0109 cm (~ 4.4 mil) yields a bypass heat loss through the bellows of ~ 10 percent. This calculated heat loss is not as substantial as would appear at first glance. First, the bellows may permit the thermoelectric material to be operated at a temperature substantially higher (in terms of increased energy-conversion efficiency) than attainable otherwise. For example, consider the Transit RTG concept, which, due to its designed operation in vacuum, is limited to hot-junction temperatures of ~ 400 C. Second, the generator may now be able to utilize vacuum foil "super" insulations in the spaces between the bellows-encapsulated thermoelectric elements in place of higher-thermal-conductivity (and often contaminated) fibrous, thermal insulations. Third, the bellows-encapsulated thermoelectric elements may be used to attach "unitized" heat sources with "shaped" hot shoes which cover a higher percentage of the heat-source volume and thus further reduce the heat-loss paths encountered in a conventional generator design. ~~The latter concept discussed in more detail below.~~ Finally, the inherently high thermal conductance of this heat-sink design (no sliding contact as required for conventional spring-loaded generators) allows the thermoelectric elements to operate typically 25 to 50 C lower in cold-junction temperature than in conventional spring-loaded generators, and, hence, affords higher energy-conversion efficiency.

* Note that the convolutions (required for spring loading) in this design only extend up to 1000 F temperature and that a cylindrical container is used in the higher-temperature regimes.

** This length includes 0.13-cm-thick cold shoe and intermediate shoe, and a 0.234-cm-thick hot shoe.

*** This thickness is commercially available and is adequate to achieve the desired spring-loading pressure (150 psi) and internal "over pressures" of inert gas.




FIGURE 5. HEAT LOSS AS A FUNCTION OF SEGMENTED SiGe-PbTe THERMOELECTRIC ELEMENT LENGTH FOR SEVERAL BELLWS WALL THICKNESSES AND HEAT-SINK-EXTENSION LENGTHS

The above dimensions, viz, an element length of 1.7 cm, a total heat-sink extension length of 1.2 cm, and a bellows wall thickness of 0.0109 cm were selected for the "proof-of-concept" experimental studies described below.


Fabrication of Bellows-Encapsulated Segmented Elements

A total of four bellows-encapsulated segmented couples were fabricated for performance testing. The bellows enclosures (see Figure 4) were fabricated by TIG-welding nickel hot platens to the as-fabricated bellows subassemblies.*

The thermoelectric elements were fabricated using (1) the 80 percent Si alloy of SiGe and (2) 2p- and 2n-PbTe. The SiGe segments were machined and ground from SiGe ingots purchased from RCA. The PbTe segments were fabricated from PbTe powder purchased from 3M Co. The iron cold shoes were joined to the PbTe segments using powder-metallurgical methods. The SiGe thermoelectric legs and tungsten intermediate shoes were bonded into a composite through the use of gold as a brazing agent. The brazing was accomplished using technology developed in earlier SiGe-PbTe segmenting studies. Specifically, the gold was incorporated in the junctions in the form of a foil. The assembled components were maintained in close contact in a differential-thermal-expansion bonding fixture and were brazed in vacuum for 1/2 hr at 1066 C (1950 F).

Several techniques for joining the graphite hot shoe to the SiGe were studied. High-temperature, high-vacuum ($\sim 10^{-6}$ Torr) bonding trials involving only graphite and SiGe were unsuccessful. The introduction of a thin film of Ge between the graphite and the SiGe did provide a degree of bonding between the SiGe and graphite. The graphite was subsequently brazed to the nickel hot platen using an aluminum-silicon brazing alloy. A composite view of the thermoelectric segments is shown in Figure 6. The components shown in Figure 6 include (from left to right) (1) the PbTe segment with bonded Fe shoe at the cold junction, (2) the tungsten intermediate junction shoe (prior to braze bonding to the SiGe), (3) the SiGe segment, and (4) the graphite disc hot shoe. The thermoelectric elements were next enclosed in a mica sleeve and positioned on the copper heat-sink extension (see Figure 4). This subassembly was next inserted in the bellows enclosure. A specially designed holding fixture allowed the bellows enclosure to be "stretched" the desired amount during which time a high-temperature epoxy seal was effected (see Figures 4 and 7). In this "proof-of-concept" study, an epoxy seal was employed in lieu of the glass/metal seal, e.g., Covar/glass seal, ultimately required for this module concept.

* Supplied by Standard-Thomson Corporation.



p-TYPE PbTe SEGMENT SHOWING Fe SHOE
AND SnTe LAYER




FIGURE 6. VIEW OF SEGMENTED SiGe-PbTe ELEMENT INCLUDING
PbTe SEGMENT, W INTERMEDIATE SHOE, SiGe SEGMENT
AND GRAPHITE HOT SHOE




FIGURE 7. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS
SHOWING Ni HOT STRAP AND EVACUATION/FILL TUBES

The bellows-encapsulated SiGe-PbTe segmented elements were next evacuated down to 10^{-6} Torr (at ~ 150 C) and backfilled with research-grade argon (99.9995 percent argon). Following the evacuation and backfill with argon, the bellows-encapsulated elements were sealed by pinching off the evacuation/fill tubes (see Figure 8). The couple was next instrumented with thermocouples and attached to the heat-sink hardware of the life-test efficiency-measurement apparatus shown in the sequence of photographs (Figures 9 to 11) and the schematic drawing in Figure 12.

Experimental Results

The results of the preliminary "proof-of-concept" experimental studies are summarized in Table 3. The couple designs selected for these evaluation studies were described in the preceding discussions. The experimental evaluation of two of the SiGe-PbTe segmented couples (Couple Numbers BPG-71-1 and BPG-71-2) was terminated at an early stage of the tests due to excessively high electrical resistance at the hot-shoe/SiGe and hot-shoe/hot-platen interfaces. Subsequent bonding studies revealed that the junction resistance could be reduced substantially by (1) introducing a Ge "bonding agent" at the SiGe/graphite interface and (2) brazing the graphite to the nickel hot platen using an aluminum-silicon brazing alloy.

The above modifications to the segmented-couple design provided junction resistances which were low enough initially to permit meaningful conversion-efficiency measurements. The results of this efficiency measurement are summarized in Table 3 (Couple Number BPG-71-3). An energy-conversion efficiency of 7.6 percent was measured after this SiGe-PbTe segmented couple had been operating for 65 hours. This measured conversion efficiency was approximately 6 percent below the calculated value (i.e., $\eta_{\text{calc}} = 7.97$ percent). This couple remained stable for the next 120 hr, after which time the output power and conversion efficiency began to decrease. The results of these preliminary tests indicate that additional hot-shoe development will have to be undertaken before long-term tests can be considered. Post-test visual examination of the segmented couple (BPG-71-3) revealed that the difference between the calculated and measured conversion efficiency is probably the result of high junction resistances at the SiGe/graphite interface and/or the graphite/nickel hot-platen interface. As previously indicated, it is expected that use of available MoSi hot-shoe technology would permit long-term operation with low resistance at the SiGe hot junction.




FIGURE 8. BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS FOLLOWING EVACUATION, BACKFILL WITH ARGON, AND CLOSURE




FIGURE 9. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS WITH SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED ELEMENTS ATTACHED TO HEAT-FLUX TRANSDUCER/HEAT-SINK ASSEMBLY




FIGURE 10. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS SHOWING THERMAL INSULATION AND HEAT-SOURCE/SPECIMEN/HEAT-SINK CAVITY




FIGURE 11. LIFE-TEST AND EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS
WITH SPECIMEN IN PLACE (SPECIMEN INSIDE THERMAL
INSULATION CAVITY IS NOT VISIBLE IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH)

1. Heat sink
2. Heat-flux transducers
3. Heat-sink supports
4. Bellows-encapsulated thermo-electric specimen
5. Heat source (Pt wound on Al_2O_3)
6. ZrO_2 powder
7. Min-K 2000
8. ZrO_2 support
9. Cold-junction thermocouples
10. Hot-junction thermocouple




FIGURE 12. LIFE-TEST EFFICIENCY-MEASUREMENT APPARATUS
(All tests performed under vacuum conditions)

TABLE 3. COMPUTED AND MEASURED PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS
FOR BELLOWS-ENCAPSULATED SiGe-PbTe SEGMENTED
COUPLES

Parameter	Calculated	Measured Results for BPG-71-3
Cold-junction temperature	450 K	441 K
Intermediate-junction temp.	800 K	(not measured)
Hot-junction temperature	1175 K	1208 K
Total heat flow*	16.3 watts (th)	14.5 ± 1.0 watts (th)
Couple output power	1.3 watts (e)	1.1 watts (e)
Operating current	7.0 amps	5.6 amps
Couple conversion efficiency	7.97 percent	$7.6 \pm .5$ percent**

*includes by-pass heat losses in bellows

** As compared with measured SiGe couple efficiencies of 6 - 6.5 percent operating at same cold- and hot-junction temperatures (see "Thermoelectric Materials and Module Development Program", 6th Quarterly Report, ALD(2510)-6 (1969)).

Note: The bellows-encapsulated module concept adds approximately 2 to 2.4 grams to the total weight of each element in RTG. However, this module concept eliminates the need and the weight for the hot frame and the spring-load follower at the cold frame. Hence, the bellows concept should permit a higher specific power. (See Eggers, P. E., "A Unitized Thermoelectric Module Concept", ASME Technical Paper No. 71-WA/Ener-1, (December, 1971))

Task III. Study of Sublimation Barriers for Vacuum Operation of Thermoelectric Elements

Introduction

The evaporative erosion of the thermoelectric materials, particularly the lead-tellurium family of materials, has long been regarded as one of the principal causes of degradation. The high vapor pressure of PbTe has limited its use in vacuum to temperatures of ~ 675 K. In the past, efforts to minimize or eliminate the evaporative erosion of thermoelectric materials have included the use of mica sleeves, quartz "washers", the zero-void, Westinghouse tubular generator concept, and the hermetically sealed SNAP-27 concept.

In the present study, several types of mechanical-barrier schemes are evaluated as a means for suppressing the sublimation of thermoelectric materials in vacuum. The mica-sleeve scheme included in this study is a "reference" condition along with a reference bare element (no mechanical barrier). The effectiveness of the candidate sublimation-barrier techniques is experimentally evaluated by (1) weight-loss measurements, (2) observation of dimensional changes of thermoelectric elements, and (3) posttest Seebeck coefficient traverse measurements. A description of the experimental procedures and the results are presented below.

Development of Sublimation-Barrier Schemes

A total of four sublimation-barrier schemes were included in this experimental study. All of the sublimation-barrier studies involved in-gradient operation of p-type PbTe (sodium doped, with excess tellurium over solid solubility) in vacuum at nominal operating hot- and cold-junction temperatures of 800 K (980 F) and 320 K (113 F), respectively. The p-type PbTe was selected for this present study because of its relatively high vapor pressure and relatively high figure of merit. The thermoelectric elements were fabricated by pressing and sintering p-type PbTe powder (see Figure 13). The "as fabricated" thermoelectric elements were next enclosed in the selected barrier scheme and placed on test.

A study of candidate barrier schemes revealed that the following schemes might afford a significant decrease in the sublimation rate of p-type PbTe: (1) a close-fitting mica sleeve, (2) a close-fitting mica sleeve with Al_2O_3 cement at the mica/W hot-shoe interface, and (3) an oxide-fiber shroud wrapped on a mica-sleeve-enclosed thermoelectric element. A bare element (without any type of sublimation barrier) was also included in this study to provide a reference condition for the thermoelectric element. A detailed description of each of the above three barrier schemes is presented below. All of the barrier schemes involve 0.76-cm-diameter x 1.5-cm-long 2p PbTe specimens with iron cold shoes and tungsten hot shoes (see Figure 13).




FIGURE 13. BASIC SPECIMEN CONFIGURATION FOR
SUBLIMATION-BARRIER STUDIES

Reference Specimens

Two reference specimen configurations have been included in this study, viz, a bare element (no sublimation barrier) and the conventional close-fitting mica sleeve (see Figure 14). The "mica sleeve" barrier scheme involved a close-fitting mica sleeve (10 mil thick) press fit over tapered shoes at either end of the element.

Mica Sleeve with Al_2O_3 Barrier

This barrier scheme is similar to the mica-sleeve configuration described above. However, an additional barrier to sublimation was provided by applying a band of alumina cement at the mica-sleeve/tungsten hot-shoe interface (see Figure 14).

Oxide-Fiber Shroud Over Mica Sleeve

This barrier scheme involved the use of an oxide-fiber shroud over a mica sleeve in order to suppress sublimation of the p-type PbTe specimen. The application of the shroud was accomplished in a two-step process. First, the 2p-PbTe element was enclosed in a close-fitting mica sleeve (10 mil thick) press fit over tapered shoes at either end of the element. Second, the enclosed element was manually wrapped with a shroud of 6 to 7 layers of SiO_2 fibers (containing approximately 18 filaments of nominal 5- μm diameter) (see Figure 14). This approach offers the advantages of minimizing the gap at the openings between the barrier and specimen and provides a "tortuous path" for the transport of the thermoelectric material from the surface of the specimen. For example, in the case of the mica sleeve only, the principal path for sublimation appears to be at the ends of the mica sleeve, particularly in the region of the mica-sleeve/tungsten hot-shoe (see Figure 14). However, the application of an oxide-fiber shroud significantly reduces the conductance at the ends of the sleeve by virtue of the tortuous path afforded by the 6 to 7 layers of fibers.




FIGURE 14. BARRIER SCHEMES FOR SUPPRESSING SUBLIMATION OF THERMOELECTRIC MATERIALS




FIGURE 15. AMPOULE TEST FIXTURE (REVISED DESIGN)

of approximately 450 hr. At the completion of the in-gradient tests, the sublimation barrier is removed and the specimen is weighed again in order to determine the weight loss. The results of these in gradient tests are discussed below.

Experimental Results

The results of the above in-gradient tests are summarized in Table 4. The experimental results indicate that a three-fold reduction in the sublimation rate (relative to a mica barrier alone) can be realized by applying an Al_2O_3 shroud at the mica-sleeve/tungsten hot-shoe interface (see specimen numbers SB-71-1 and -4). Even more significant, a 12- to 50-fold reduction in the sublimation rate (relative to a mica barrier alone) can be realized by applying a shroud of 6-7 layers of oxide fibers (see specimen numbers SB-71-1, -3, -5). The outstanding barrier effectiveness for the oxide-fiber barrier scheme was confirmed by repeating the experiment (see Specimen Number SB-71-5).

The above results indicate that, based on percent weight loss, the oxide-fiber-shroud-over-mica-sleeve barrier scheme is far superior to the other barrier schemes investigated. An analysis was also performed to translate "percent weight loss" to "increase in electrical resistance" of the thermoelectric couple. For the present experimental conditions (0.76-cm-diameter x 1.5-cm-long thermoelements operating between 527 C and 25 to 35 C), the 0.04 percent weight loss in specimen number SB-71-5 corresponds to a total element resistance increase of less than 0.2 percent. Hence, one could expect less than 0.2 percent decrease in output power* of the p-type PbTe leg after operating for approximately 450 hours in vacuum. This rate of resistance increase due to sublimation would, of course, be further reduced if the same oxide-fiber enclosed p-type PbTe element were operated in an inert atmosphere in place of vacuum.

Posttest examination of the above specimens was performed using Seebeck coefficient traverse measurements.⁽⁹⁾ These measurements provide longitudinal Seebeck coefficient profiles both at the surface of the specimen and at the centerline of the specimen. The results of these traverse measurements revealed that there was no apparent preferential sublimation of Te from the 2p PbTe. Hence, it can be inferred that observed weight losses can be interpreted as being bulk PbTe loss in contrast to preferential loss of the property-controlling dopants (excess Te in the present case).

* Due to erosion of thermoelectric element by sublimation.

TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF WEIGHT LOSS FOR 2p-PbTe ELEMENTS
OPERATED IN VACUUM AND INVOLVING SELECTED
SUBLIMATION BARRIER SCHEMES

Specimen Number	Barrier Scheme	Hours on Test	Hot-Junction Temperature, C	Weight Loss, percent
SB-71-1	Mica sleeve	445	520-527	0.48
SB-71-2	No barrier	25	520-524	2.75
SB-71-3	Oxide-fiber shroud over mica sleeve	455	522-529	0.01
SB-71-4	Mica sleeve with Al ₂ O ₃ cement at mica/W interface	454	526-531	0.18
SB-71-5	Oxide-fiber shroud over mica sleeve	454	528-533	0.04

REFERENCES

- (1) Kinney, R. D., "The Evaporative Erosion of Thermoelectric Elements in Some Thermoelectric Generators", Report SC-RR-70-534, Sandia Laboratories (September, 1970).
- (2) Eggers, P. E., Mueller, J. J., "Oxygen-Enhanced Sublimation of Type PbTe Thermoelectric Materials", Proceedings of the 6th IECEC Conference, Boston, Massachusetts (August, 1971).
- (3) Powell, A. H., "Compression Springs for Long Time Operation in Vacuum at 1000 F", Topical Report GESP-345 (December, 1969).
- (4) Kortier, W. E., Mueller, J. J., Eggers, P. E., and Freas, D. G., "A Research and Development Program for Segmenting Silicon Germanium and Lead Telluride Thermoelectric Materials", Final Report, Contract NAS5-10185 (December 16, 1966).
- (5) Eggers, P. E., and Mueller, J. J., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", Final Report, Contract NAS5-14097 (September 28, 1968).
- (6) Eggers, P. E., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", Final Report, Contract NAS5-11644 (June 30, 1969).
- (7) Eggers, P. E., "Study of Test and Measurement Standardization Techniques Associated with Thermoelectric Materials", Contract NAS5-21099 (November, 1969 to August, 1970).
- (8) Powell, A. H., "Compression Springs for Long Time Operation in Vacuum at 1000 F", Topical Report GESP-345 (December, 1969).
- (9) Mueller, J. J., et al, "Seebeck Voltage Probe for Examination of Thermoelectric Elements", 1968 IECEC Conference Proceedings.
- (10) For OFFOPT correlation study see Eggers, P. E., "Performance of Life Tests and Efficiency Measurements for Thermoelectric Couples at Constant Thermal Input Power", ASME Technical Publication Number 69-WA/Ener-14₃ (1969)
- (11) For TRUMP correlation study compare results in Figure B-1 with results reported in "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", Final Report under Contract NAS5-10497, page 73-74 (September, 1968)

APPENDIX A

USERS MANUAL FOR TRANRTG RTG TRANSIENT ANALYSIS COMPUTER PROGRAM

Note: The input data forms contained in this appendix organize the input data into functional groups. These forms also provide a convenient format for data compilation since each input parameter is briefly described in the adjacent column titled "Description". Note that a number of the control data values have been specified previously on pages A-4 and A-8 and should not be altered. The specified data values together with the user-supplied data should be key-punched according to the format specified in these data forms.

ANALYTICAL EQUATIONS FOR TRANRTG

1. RTG Design-Optimization Equations (GESPGN)

The equations for the RTG design optimization are contained in the NASA-Goddard final report, "The Analysis and Design of a High-Temperature Thermoelectric Conversion Device", BAT-5-6397-2, classified Confidential-Defense Information, NAS 5-3697 (1965); also Eggers, P. E., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Life Test and Evaluation Study", NASA Contract No. NAS 5-10497, Final Report, September, 1968.

2. Thermoelectric-Analysis Equations (OFFOPT)

The equations for the thermoelectric analyses are described in the report "Progress on the Development of Segmented PbTe-Bi Te Thermoelectric Modules", AEC Contract W-7405-eng-92, BMI Report No. BMI^X-1794 (January, 1967). A more detailed discussion can be found in "Development of an Analysis Technique for Predicting the Operating Characteristics of Thermoelectric Heat Engines", R. E. Best, The Ohio State University, 1970 (thesis).

3. Heat-Transfer Equations (TRUMP)

The equations for the steady-state and transient heat-transfer analyses are reported in "TRUMP Computer Program" by A. L. Edwards, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report Number UCRL-14754 Revision II (1969).

4. Supplementary Equations

Additional equations used in the TRANRTG computer program but not described in the above references include:

- (a) Sublimation Rate Equation. The postulated equation, described on page A-4, permits the user to supply empirically derived sublimation rates for the thermoelements in terms of coefficients and exponents for an exponential model of the form:

$$y = Ae^{BT}.$$

This model, in general, provides a good fit to empirically derived evaporation rates for thermoelectric materials.

(b) Radioisotope Decay. This equation is based on the relationship

$$Q = Q_0 e^{-\lambda t}$$

where

Q_0 = initial thermal power

λ = disintegration constant = $\frac{.693}{\text{half life}}$

t = time (same units as half life) .

FORTRAN	FIXED	IO	DIGIT	DECIMAL	DATA
---------	-------	----	-------	---------	------

PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 1 of _____
DECK NO. _____ JOB NO. _____

A-1

NUMBER		IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1			
13			Cross-sectional area of n-leg (cm ²)
25			Cross-sectional area of p-leg (cm ²)
37			Beginning-of-life thermopile cold junction temperature (K)
49			Beginning-of-life thermopile hot junction temperature (K)
61			Length of thermoelectric elements (cm)
		B L O C K 1 - 1	Temperature difference between thermopile cold junction temperature and fin root temperature (K)
1			Temperature difference between thermopile hot junction temperature and heat source surface temperature (K)
13			Power density multiplying factor (set to 1.0)
25			Ratio of external load to thermopile internal resistance
37			
49			
61			
		B L O C K 1 - 2	
1			
13			
25			
37			
49			
61			
1			
13			
25			
37			
49			
61			

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-2

DECK NO. _____		PROGRAMMER _____		DATE _____		PAGE 2 of _____		JOB NO. _____	
NUMBER		IDENTIFICATION		DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH					
1				Polynomial coefficients for p-leg resistivity - coefficient 1					
13				(units of ohm-cm) - coefficient 2					
25				- coefficient 3					
37				- coefficient 4					
49				- coefficient 5					
61				- coefficient 6					
1				- coefficient 7					
13				- coefficient 8					
25				Polynomial coefficients for n-leg resistivity - coefficient 1					
37				(units of ohm-cm) - coefficient 2					
49				- coefficient 3					
61				- coefficient 4					
1				- coefficient 5					
13				- coefficient 6					
25				- coefficient 7					
37				- coefficient 8					
49				Polynomial coefficients for p-leg thermal - coefficient 1					
61				conductivity (units of watts/cm-C) - coefficient 2					
1				- coefficient 3					
13				- coefficient 4					
25				- coefficient 5					
37				- coefficient 6					
49				- coefficient 7					
61				- coefficient 8					

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-3

DECK NO. _____	PROGRAMMER _____	DATE _____	PAGE 3 of _____	JOB NO. _____
NUMBER		IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 73 B L O C K 2 - 5 80 </div>	Polynomial coefficients for n-leg thermal conductivity	- coefficient 1
13				- coefficient 2
25				- coefficient 3
37				- coefficient 4
49				- coefficient 5
61				- coefficient 6
1		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 73 B L O C K 2 - 6 80 </div>		- coefficient 7
13				- coefficient 8
25				- coefficient 1
37				Polynomial coefficients for p-leg Seebeck coefficient
49				(units of volts/C)
61				- coefficient 3
1		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 73 B L O C K 2 - 7 80 </div>		- coefficient 4
13				- coefficient 5
25				- coefficient 6
37				- coefficient 7
49				- coefficient 8
61				Polynomial coefficients for n-leg Seebeck coefficient
1		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 73 B L O C K 2 - 8 80 </div>	(unit of volts/C)	- coefficient 2
13				- coefficient 3
25				- coefficient 4
37				- coefficient 5
49				- coefficient 6
61				- coefficient 7
1		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> 73 B L O C K 2 - 8 80 </div>		- coefficient 8
13				
25				
37				
49				
61				

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 4 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		Contact resistivity of p-leg hot junction (ohm-cm ²)
13		Contact resistivity of p-leg intermediate junction (ohm-cm ²)
25		Contact resistivity of p-leg cold junction (ohm-cm ²)
37		Contact resistivity of n-leg hot junction (ohm-cm ²)
49		Contact resistivity of n-leg intermediate junction (ohm-cm ²)
61		Contact resistivity of n-leg cold junction (ohm-cm ²)
1		Initial current for I-V sweep (amps)
13		Large step size for current iterations (amps)
25		Small step size for current iterations (amps)
37		Tolerance for element length iterations (cm)
49		Step size for first stage of element during iterations (cm)
61		Exponents and intercepts for A for N leg segment
1		vaporization rates of B for N leg segment
13		thermoelectric elements A for P leg segment
25		according to the relationship: B for P leg segment
37		$y = Ae^{\frac{BT}{A}}$ A for N leg-hot segment
49		where y is in units of
61		(grams/cm ² hr)
1		
13		Density of n-leg hot segment (grams/cm ³)
25		Density of p-leg hot segment (grams/cm ³)
37		Radiation heat transfer view factor from element
49		
61		

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-5

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 5 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		Thermoelement geometry factor; if 1.0, rectangular elements; if 0.0, circular elements
13		Temperature exponent of contact resistivity, c, where $\rho_c \propto T^c$
25		Tolerance function for assumed thermopile temperature difference, C
37		Tolerance function for assumed radiator temperature, C
49		Tolerance function for assumed cold junction temperature, C
61		Tolerance function for assumed hot junction temperature, C
1		Half life of radioisotope (years)
13		Density of n-leg cold segment (grams/cm ³)
25		Density of p-leg cold segment (grams/cm ³)
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE 6 PAGE _____ of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		Permanent Data Set 1
13		(supplied with computer program)
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		

FORTRAN	FIXED	IO	DIGIT	DECIMAL	DATA
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1
6	1	1	1	1	1
7	1	1	1	1	1
8	1	1	1	1	1
9	1	1	1	1	1
10	1	1	1	1	1
11	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	1	1	1	1
13	1	1	1	1	1
14	1	1	1	1	1
15	1	1	1	1	1
16	1	1	1	1	1
17	1	1	1	1	1
18	1	1	1	1	1
19	1	1	1	1	1
20	1	1	1	1	1
21	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	1	1	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	1
24	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1
26	1	1	1	1	1
27	1	1	1	1	1
28	1	1	1	1	1
29	1	1	1	1	1
30	1	1	1	1	1
31	1	1	1	1	1
32	1	1	1	1	1
33	1	1	1	1	1
34	1	1	1	1	1
35	1	1	1	1	1
36	1	1	1	1	1
37	1	1	1	1	1
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	1	1	1	1	1
40	1	1	1	1	1
41	1	1	1	1	1
42	1	1	1	1	1
43	1	1	1	1	1
44	1	1	1	1	1
45	1	1	1	1	1
46	1	1	1	1	1
47	1	1	1	1	1
48	1	1	1	1	1
49	1	1	1	1	1
50	1	1	1	1	1
51	1	1	1	1	1
52	1	1	1	1	1
53	1	1	1	1	1
54	1	1	1	1	1
55	1	1	1	1	1
56	1	1	1	1	1
57	1	1	1	1	1
58	1	1	1	1	1
59	1	1	1	1	1
60	1	1	1	1	1
61	1	1	1	1	1
62	1	1	1	1	1
63	1	1	1	1	1
64	1	1	1	1	1
65	1	1	1	1	1
66	1	1	1	1	1
67	1	1	1	1	1
68	1	1	1	1	1
69	1	1	1	1	1
70	1	1	1	1	1
71	1	1	1	1	1
72	1	1	1	1	1
73	1	1	1	1	1
74	1	1	1	1	1
75	1	1	1	1	1
76	1	1	1	1	1
77	1	1	1	1	1
78	1	1	1	1	1
79	1	1	1	1	1
80	1	1	1	1	1
81	1	1	1	1	

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 7 of _____ JOB NO. _____

A-7

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		
13		Radiator material I.D. number (1)* (Col. 1) and material name (e.g., beryllium)
25		Number of radiator fins (2 ≤ N ≤ 8)
37		Radiator fin emissivity (2)
49		Fin-length parameter, $\theta(\text{cm}^2 - ^\circ\text{K}^4/\text{W})$
61		Fin-thickness parameter, $\phi(\text{cm}^3 - ^\circ\text{K}^5/\text{W}^2)$
		Fin-weight parameter, $\mu(\text{cm}^2 - \text{lb} - ^\circ\text{K}^9/\text{W}^3)^{1/2}$
1		Thermoelectric material (e.g., PbTe, GeSi, etc.)
13		Thermoelectric hardware material (e.g., S.S. 304)
25		Thermoelectric hot-shoe material (e.g., tungsten, iron, etc.)
37		Thermoelectric cold-shoe material (e.g., tungsten, iron, etc.)
49		Interelement thermal and electrical insulation material (e.g., mica)
61		Module cladding material (e.g., S.S. 304)
1		Thermoelectric element length assumed (cm)
13		
25		
37		
49		Number of modules (3)
61		
1		Length-to-area ratio of Phase I n-element (cm^{-1})
13		Length-to-area ratio of Phase I p-element (cm^{-1})
25		
37		
49		
61		

* Superscript numbers refer to explanatory notes at end of Appendix A.

FORTRAN FIXED 10 DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 8 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1	B L O C K 5 - 5	Density of Phase I n-type segment (lb/cc)
13		" " I p-type "
25		
37		
49		
61		
1	B L O C K 5 - 6	Number of thermoelectric element segments
13		Element distribution in module width (4) (0 - odd or even, 1 - even only)
25		Density of thermoelectric hardware (hot and cold platens, etc.) (lb/cc)
37		Density of module cladding material (lb/cc)
49		Density of thermoelectric shoe material (lb/cc)
61		Density of thermal and electrical insulation between elements (lb/cc)
1	B L O C K 5 - 7	Thermoelectric hardware thickness in conductive mode (cm)
13		Thermoelectric hardware thickness in radiative mode (cm)
25		Radiation gap between heat source and module (cm)
37		Thickness of element shoes (cm)
49		Thickness of module periphery material (cm)
61		Thickness of module peripheral gap for radiation-loss analysis (cm)
1	B L O C K 5 - 8	
13		
25		
37		
49		
61		

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-9

DECK NO.	PROGRAMMER	DATE	PAGE 9 of	JOB NO.
NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH	
1		Thickness of thermal and electrical insulation between elements (cm)		
13		Effective thermal conductivity of module periphery (watts/cm/°C)		
25		Effective thermal conductivity of thermal and electrical insulation (watts/cm/°C)		
37				
49				
61				
	73			80
	B L O C K 5 - 8			
1		Fuel-form cladding material (e.g., Inconel 716)		
13		Inner-liner cladding material (e.g., tantalum)		
25		Outer-shell cladding material (e.g., molybdenum)		
37		Fuel-tube material (e.g., molybdenum)		
49		Toughness parameter of cladding (5) (lb/cm ²)		
61		Thickness of inner-liner cladding (cm)		
	73			80
	B L O C K 5 - 9			
1		Thickness of outer-shell cladding (cm)		
13		Thickness of fuel tube (cm)		
25		Density of fuel-form cladding (lb/cc)		
37		Density of inner-liner cladding (lb/cc)		
49		Density of outer-shell cladding (lb/cc)		
61		Density of fuel tube (lb/cc)		
	73			80
	B L O C K 5-10			
1		Fuel-form material (e.g., plutonium)		
13		Fuel-block material (e.g., graphite)		
25		Fuel-form power density (6) (watts/cc)		
37		Fuel-pin density in close-packing matrix (7) (decimal)		
49				
61				
	73			80
	B L O C K 5-11			
		Fuel-pin array option * (0 - locus of all fuel-pin centers is a circle; 1 - close packing of fuel pins)		

* Kortier, W. E., "An Advanced Thermoelectric Component Program Final Summary Report", February 18, 1966, page 6, NAS5-9160.

FORTRAN FIXED 10 DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 10 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1	Block 5-12	Fuel pin - fuel tube separation ⁽⁸⁾ (cm)	
13		Fuel-pin separation (cm)	
25		Number of fuel pins	
37		"Effective" surface-power-density available for module ⁽⁹⁾ (watts/cm ²)	
49		Heat-transfer mode between heat source and module ⁽¹⁾ - conductive ⁽²⁾ - radiative	
61		Density of fuel block (lb/cc)	
1	Block 5-13	Density of fuel form ⁽¹⁰⁾ (lb/cc)	
13		Density of fuel pin (lb/cc)	
25		Limiting aspect ratio of fuel form	
37		Limiting aspect ratio of fuel pin	
49			
61			
1	Block 5-14	Heat-source-support material for ends (e.g., Min-K 2000)	
13		Heat-source-support material for radial portion (e.g., Min-K 2000)	
25		Thermal insulation material for ends	
37		Thermal insulation material for radial portion	
49		Absolute end support option ⁽⁰⁾ - all support on ends; ⁽¹⁾ - some support on radial portion	
61		Absolute radial support option ⁽¹⁾ - some support on ends	
1	Block 5-15	Elastic modulus ⁽¹¹⁾ (compression) of end heat-source support (lb/cm ²)	
13		Elastic modulus (compression) of radial heat-source support (lb/cm ²)	
25		Elastic modulus (shear) of end heat-source support (lb/cm ²)	
37		Elastic modulus (shear) of radial heat-source support (lb/cm ²)	
49		Neglect of heat-source-support end-deflection ⁽¹²⁾	
61		(0 - deflection controls generator length; 1 - generator length independent of deflection)	

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____		PROGRAMMER _____		DATE _____		PAGE 11 of _____		JOB NO. _____	
NUMBER		IDENTIFICATION		DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH					
1		73	Block 5-16	Heat-source-support foil separation on end support (cm)					
13				Heat-source-support foil separation on radial support (cm)					
25				End-insulation-foil separation (cm)					
37				Radial-insulation-foil separation (cm)					
49				Thermal conductivity of end heat-source support (watts/cm/°C)					
61				Thermal conductivity of radial heat-source support (watts/cm/°C)					
1		73	Block 5-17	Thermal conductivity of end insulation (watts/cm/°C)					
13				Thermal conductivity of radial insulation (watts/cm/°C)					
25				Density of the end heat-source support (lb/cc)					
37				Density of the radial heat-source support (lb/cc)					
49				Density of the end insulation (lb/cc)					
61				Density of the radial insulation (lb/cc)					
1		73	Block 5-18	Maximum tolerable deflection of end heat-source support ⁽¹³⁾ (cm)					
13				Maximum tolerable deflection of radial heat-source support ⁽¹³⁾ (cm)					
25									
37									
49									
61									
1		73	Block 5-19	Generator shell material (e.g., beryllium)					
13				Final overall generator length (cm)					
25				Normal increment step-size for generator length (cm)					
37				Initial overall generator length (cm)					
49				Density of generator shell (lb/cc)					
61				Thickness of ablator on end (cm)					

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-12

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____		DATE _____	PAGE 12 of _____	JOB NO. _____
NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH	
1	73	Thickness of ablator on radial portion (cm)		
13		Thermal conductivity of generator shell (watts/cm/°C)		
25		Limiting aspect ratio of generator envelope		
37		Limiting aspect ratio of generator shell		
49		Percent of total heat-dump capability of generator ends ⁽¹⁴⁾ (decimal)		
61	Block 5-20	Thickness of radiator shell		
1	73	Thermoelectric-array computation sequence (1,2,3) ⁽¹⁹⁾		
13		Generator-length computation sequence (0,1)		
25		Thermoelectric-element-length computation sequence (0,-1)		
37		Fuel-form geometry option (0,1)		
49		Heat-source-support computation option (-1,0,1)		
61	Block 5-21	End-insulation computation option (-1,0)		
1	73	Insulation and heat-source-support option (0,1)		
13		Insulation and heat-source-support material option (0,1)		
25		Print option for output data ⁽¹⁵⁾ (0 - abstract, 1 - detailed, 2 - super-detailed)		
37		Plot option (1 - plot component weights vs generator length; 2 - plot component weights vs radiator temperature; 3 - no plot)		
49		Output format option ⁽¹⁵⁾ (0 - abstract, 1 - detailed)		
61	Block 5-22	Temperature of thermoelement cold junction (K)		
1	73	Temperature at cold end of insulation (K)		
13		Temperature of thermoelement hot junction (K)		
25		Temperature at hot end of insulation (K)		
37		Temperature at heat-source surface ^(that surface which is adjacent to module) (K)		
49		Temperature of radiator fin and generator shell (K)		
61	Block 5-23			

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 13 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1	General Design Inputs	Assumed engineering efficiency (17) (decimal form)	
13		Minimum thermoelectric element length to be considered by generator (18) (cm)	
25		Maximum element length to be considered by generator (18) (cm)	
37		"g"-loading axially (dimensionless)	
49		"g"-loading radially (dimensionless)	
61		Generator power level (watts(e))	
1	Block 5-24	Impact velocity of heat source (5) (cm/sec)	
13			
25		Data set index for component weight versus cold-junction temperature	
37		option	
49			
61			
1	Block 5-25		
13			
25			
37			
49			
61			
1			
13			
25			
37			
49			
61			

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 14 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1	<div>Block 6-1</div>	Effective density of heat source (g/cm^3)
13		Effective specific heat of heat source (cal/g-K)
25		Effective thermal conductivity of heat source (cal/sec-cm-K)
37		Melting point of heat source (K)
49		Latent heat of fusion heat source (cal/g)
61		
1	<div>Block 6-2</div>	Effective density of thermal insulation (g/cm^3)
13		Effective specific heat of thermal insulation (cal/g-K)
25		Effective thermal conductivity of thermal insulation (cal/sec-cm-K)
37		Melting point of thermal insulation (K)
49		Latent heat of fusion thermal insulation (cal/g)
61		
1	<div>Block 6-3</div>	Effective density of RTG fins and shell (g/cm^3)
13		Effective specific heat of RTG fins and shell (cal/g-K)
25		Effective thermal conductivity of RTG fins and shell (cal/sec-cm-K)
37		Melting point of RTG fins and shell (K)
49		Latent heat of fusion of RTG fins and shell (cal/g)
61		
1	<div>Block 6-4</div>	Effective density of thermoelements (g/cm^3)
13		Effective specific heat of thermoelements (cal/g-K)
25		Blank
37		Melting point of thermoelements (K)
49		Latent heat of fusion of thermoelements (cal/g)
61		

FORTRAN FIXED 10 DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 16 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1	Transient data (73)	Case number (index) for transient analyses 0., 3., etc.)
13		Elapsed time since last data set (hr)
25		Sublimation factor; if 0.0, sublimation, if 1.0, no sublimation
37		Minimum temperature at which sublimation will be significant.(K)
49		Coefficients for thermoelectric
61	B L O C K 8 - 1	Sect. I: p-leg resistivity
		n-leg resistivity
1		change in thermoelectric
13		p-leg th. cond.
25		n-leg th. cond.
37	73	Properties according to
		four sections of legs of
		equal temperature difference
49		p-leg Seebeck coefficient
61		n-leg Seebeck coefficient
	B L O C K 8 - 2	Sect. II: p-leg resistivity
		n-leg resistivity
1		Section I - hot section
13		Sect. II: p-leg resistivity
25		n-leg resistivity
37	73	Section III - medium-cold section
		p-leg th. cond.
		n-leg th. cond.
49		Section IV - cold section
61		p-leg th. cond.
	B L O C K 8 - 3	(unitless coefficients)
		p-leg Seebeck coefficient
1		If no thermoelectric material
13		n-leg Seebeck coefficient
25		degradation, enter 1.0 in
37	73	Sect. III: p-leg resistivity
		n-leg resistivity
		all fields of Sections I-IV
49		n-leg resistivity
61		n-leg resistivity
	B L O C K 8 - 4	These coefficients are based
1		on either estimated or
13		measured normalized property
25		changes.
37		Sect. IV: p-leg resistivity
49		n-leg resistivity
61		n-leg resistivity

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 15 of _____ JOB NO. _____

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ PAGE 15 of _____ JOB NO. _____

JOB NO.

A-15

FORTRAN FIXED IO DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

A-17

DECK NO.	PROGRAMMER	DATE	PAGE 17 of	JOB NO.
NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	DO NOT KEY PUNCH	
1		Coefficients (continued)	Sect. IV: p-leg th. cond.	
13			n-leg th. cond.	
25			p-leg Seebeck coefficient	
37			n-leg Seebeck coefficient	
49		Contact resistivity coefficients	p-leg, hot junction	
61	B L O C K 8 - 5	to simulate increase or	p-leg, intermediate junction	
1		decrease of contact	p-leg, cold junction	
13		resistivity with time	n-leg, hot junction	
25		(unitless)	n-leg, intermediate junction	
37			n-leg, cold junction	
49		Vaporization rate	p-leg, hot segment	
61	B L O C K 8 - 6	coefficients to simulate	n-leg, hot segment	
1		change in rate due to, e.g., change p-leg, cold segment		
13		in cover gas pressure (unitless)	n-leg, cold segment	
25		Coefficient to simulate change in load resistance (unitless)		
37		Coefficient to simulate change in radiator emittance (unitless)		
49		Incident solar heat flux or planetary	Node 11, 12	
61	B L O C K 8 - 7	albedo; adjust heat flux	Node 9, 10	
1		to correspond to appropriate	Node 35	
13		angle of incidence	Node 36	
25		(watts/cm ²)	Node 37	
37			Node 38	
49		Total elapsed time (hr)		
61	B L O C K 8 - 8	Printout interval for absolute transient condition (21) (seconds)		

FORTRAN FIXED 10 DIGIT DECIMAL DATA

DECK NO. _____ PROGRAMMER _____ DATE _____ of _____ PAGE 18 of _____ JOB NO. _____

NUMBER	IDENTIFICATION	DESCRIPTION DO NOT KEY PUNCH
1		Absolute transient factor (22) (steady state = 0, transient = 1)
13		RTG boundary temperature (K) (23)
25		Convection and conduction heat transfer coefficient for radiator (23) (cal/sec cm ² C)
37		Start-up option (24) (0-No, 1-Yes)
49	73	Initial RTG temperature for start-up transient analysis (K)
61		Coefficient to simulate change in k of thermal insulation (unitless)
1		Maximum time for transient analysis
13		If set = 1, last transient data set for given subproblem
25		
37		
49	73	
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49	73	
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49	73	
61		
1		
13		
25		
37		
49	73	
61		

Explanatory Notes on Input Data(1) Radiator-Material Designation

The following radiator-material numbering code may be used to extract the radiator fin parameters from the input-data library:

<u>Radiator Material</u> <u>I. D. Number</u>	<u>Radiator Material</u>
1	Magnesium
2	Beryllium
3	Magnesium M1A
4	Magnesium HM21-T8
5	Aluminum A356-T6

(2) Fin Parameters

If materials other than the above are desired, see reference^{*} for details of parameter calculation.

(3) Selection of Module Number

The selection of the number of modules is a tradeoff between module peripheral losses and desired number of fins. For maximum utilization of radiator fins, the number of modules should match the number and position of the fins. Therefore, this selection will be subject to the selection of the number of fins discussed.

(4) Thermoelectric-Array Option

The choice of module-width array is affected by the requirements imposed by equipment used in the vicinity of the generator. For example, for magnetometers, extraneous fields produced by current-carrying wires must be arranged so that they are self cancelling. This is achieved by an array with equal numbers of n- and p-elements across the width of the module, and this can be introduced into the program by means of the input value set equal to 1.0.

* Burian, R. J., et al, "A Design Procedure for the Weight Optimization of Straight Finned Radiators", NASA Technical Note TN D-3489 (August, 1966).

(5) Toughness Parameter and Impact Velocity

This parameter is used in the cladding-analyses subroutine of the program. The selection of this term is discussed in the final report of NASA Contract NAS5-3697, page 25. Also in this reference appears a discussion of the impact velocity and how the cladding analyses establish a cladding thickness sufficient for intact impact with an unyielding surface.

(6) Fuel-Form Power Density

This power density is usually found in the properties table of a candidate fuel form. However, when radioisotope gas must be accommodated by void space, the fuel-form power density is reduced to an effective power density. This power density is used to determine the volume the fuel form will occupy, including the void volume.

(7) Fuel-Pin Packing Density

This parameter defines the packing density of the fuel pins in the fuel-block matrix. The maximum packing fraction is about 70 percent and will have to be determined prior to the computer run. This number, when divided into the total cross-sectional area of the fuel pins, will give the total cross-sectional area of the fuel-form cross section. The value is used when an undefined array is specified.

(8) Separation Between the Fuel Pin-Fuel Tube and Between Fuel Pins

This input permits the operator to choose the fuel-block thickness between a fuel pin and the fuel tube which supports the fuel block plus fuel pins. This only applies to the symmetric fuel-pin array which is selected by the input value for FPA, the fuel-pin-array option.

The separation between fuel pins along a line connecting the fuel-pin centers allows the packing density to be controlled. Note that the fuel block is optional in the case of radiation heat transfer. In this case, the fuel pins would be supported by a frame whose weight would be included under the heading of the fuel block.

(9) Heat-Source-Surface Power Density

The heat-source-surface power density is selected on the basis of maximum-power-density capability. This value is found from two-dimensional

heat-flow considerations of the fuel form, fuel block, cladding, and fuel-tube composite. The term is then used in the computer to determine whether the designed thermoelectric module requires a surface power density greater than that practical for a particular heat-source design. A surface-power-density deficiency will result in an escalation of the heat-source surface temperature which will increase parasitic heat losses through the insulation and heat-source support.

(10) Fuel-Form Density

The fuel-form density may be expressed as an effective value if void volumes are incorporated into the fuel form. For example, if a fuel requires an additional 100 percent void volume due to fission radioisotope-decay gas release, then the effective fuel-form density, RH_{OFF} , would be one-half the actual fuel-form density for the weight calculation.

(11) Modulus of Elasticity for Heat-Source Support

The compressive- and shear-modulus inputs are necessary for the determination of heat-source support required for a maximum allowed deflection. The values will often be supplied with homogeneous materials. However, for more complex support structures, such as honeycomb, special data reports must be consulted. Since heat-source support is a basic necessity, the support medium may have to be designed for a required modulus.

(12) Neglect of Heat-Source-Support End Deflection

This option permits the code to consider or ignore longer generators when a deficiency of end-support-bearing-area exists. Longer generators are attended by decreasing end areas, which serves to increase the bearing-area deficiency.

(13) Maximum Tolerable Deflections

The module, heat source, or support may be limited in deflection because of preservation of electrical or thermal contacts of the thermoelectrics, excessive shear forces on thermoelectrics or heat-source support, or increase in heat transfer through heat-source support, or insulation due to reduction in heat path.

(14) Heat Dissipation by Generator Ends

The particular mission of a generator may allow all, part, or none of the end area of the generator shell to dissipate heat. This may be introduced into the program by specifying the percentage of the total dissipative capability available for heat dump.

(15) Output Options

The print option for output data controls the frequency of the display of output data, e.g., the user may choose to (1) print the calculated data after a change in each component (superdetailed mode), (2) print the calculated data after each component has been optimized (detailed), and (3) print only the optimum generator-design case (abstract).

The output-format operation permits the user to specify (1) an output format containing all dimensions, weights, etc. (detailed) or (2) an output format containing only the principal parameters (abstract). A description of both types of output format is given in Appendix B.

(16) Generator Profile Temperatures

The temperatures of the cold junction of the thermoelectrics, cold junction of the insulation, and the radiator surface may all be specified independently to accommodate the temperature differentials present in the heat path for the thermoelectric cold junction to the radiator surface. The same procedure is used at the hot junction.

(17) Generator Profile Temperatures

This program is designed to construct mathematically a generator based on assumed thermoelectric and engineering efficiencies. Therefore, the operator must select a practical value of engineering efficiency for the program. The program will analyze and iterate on engineering efficiency until a heat balance is achieved. Thus, the proper selection of this parameter will greatly reduce the computer run time.

(18) Element-Length Limits

These limits are imposed to avoid impractical element shapes and sizes due to the element-length iterations taking place in the program. The limits may be based on fabricability or insulation capability, since insulation thickness and heat-source-support thickness follows the element length.

(19) RTG Analysis Computation Options

(a) Thermoelectric-array computation sequence

- 1 - Limited by heat-source diameter
- 2 - Limited by heat-source length
- 3 - Investigates Cases 1 and 2

Geometry of module based on geometry of heat sources

(b) Generator length computation sequence

- 0 - Iterate using normal increments
- 1 - Iterate using large increments and then small increments

(c) Thermoelectric-element-length computation sequence

- 0 - Element length is fixed
- 1 - Element length is varied in order to trade off thermoelectric weight versus other generator components (viz, heat source, insulation, shell, etc.)

(d) Fuel-form geometry option

- 0 - Right-cylindrical geometry for single fuel form
- 1 - Right-cylindrical geometry for subfuel form or fuel pins and overall right-cylindrical for fuel block

(e) Heat-source-support computation option

-
- ~~1 - Maximum support by ends~~
 - 0 - Support by both end and radial portion
 - 1 - Maximum support by radial portion

(f) End-insulation computation option

- 1 - Tradeoff end-insulation thickness against other generator components
- 0 - End-insulation thickness is fixed

(g) Insulation and heat-source-support option

- 0 - Solid-type insulation and support
- 1 - Foil- and honeycomb-type insulation and support

(h) Insulation and heat-source-support material option

- 0 - Treat insulation and heat-source support as independent components
 - 1 - Assume insulation and heat source are same and calculate deflection of support material on the basis of total bearing area available.
-

(20) Transient Data Set

This block is repeated as many times as the user requires to simulate the transient operating profile of the RTG. The data sets must be sequenced in chronological order.

(21) Print Out Interval

Specification of this time interval controls the frequency of print-out of RTG data during a "dynamic" transient, e.g., start-up of RTG after fueling, transient operation during launch. This should not be less than 120 sec.

(22) Absolute Transient Factor

This factor specifies whether the present transient data set describes the "dynamic" transient condition (e.g., transient operation during launch) or a "static" condition (e.g., simple decay of radioisotope). If "dynamic", set equal to 1, if "static", set equal to 0.

(23) RTG Boundary Conditions

The RTG boundary temperature and radiator heat-transfer coefficient (convection and conduction) can be specified in order to permit simulation of changing RTG boundary conditions. An important transient condition requiring this option is the simulation of the RTG operation during launch. For example, the RTG may be exposed to the following launch profile: (1) RTG cooled by forced air flow with an ambient temperature T_1 , (2) RTG dissipates heat to shroud by natural convection and radiation heat transfer with a boundary temperature T_2 , and (3) shroud is removed and RTG dissipates heat solely by radiation heat transfer to an effective boundary temperature of T_3 . In describing the above launch profile, the analyst may subdivide each of the above three phases of the launch into smaller time intervals by simply specifying the boundary temperature and the convection and conduction heat-transfer coefficient corresponding to each subinterval.

(24) Start-up Option

This option permits the analyst to study the transient performance of a given RTG design during start-up from a specified initial temperature.

APPENDIX B
SAMPLE TRANRTG OUTPUT

INPUT DATA
+++++

RADIATOR FIN INPUTS

RADIATOR MATERIAL I.D.	MAG-HW-1	NO. OF FINS	5.00
FIN EXTENSIVITY	10.00	FIN LENGTH PARAMETER	6.1526
FIN THICKNESS PARAMETER	1.6516	FIN WEIGHT PARAMETER	0.405E-01

THERMOPILE INPUTS

THERMOELECTRIC MATERIAL	PRTE (2P-2N)	THERMOELECTRIC HARDWARE MATERIAL	SS304
THERMOELECTRIC HOT-SHOF MATERIAL	TUNGSTEN	THERMOELECTRIC COLD-SHOF MATERIAL	IRON-SNTE
THERMAL AND ELECTRICAL INSULATION MATERIAL	MICA	MODULE CLAD MATERIAL	NONE USED
ASSUMED ELEMENT LENGTH	1.40	CLOSED-CIRCUIT VOLTAGE PFR COUPLE	0.0653
THERMOELECTRIC CURRENT	3.50	NO. OF MODULES	5.00
L/A FOR STAGE-1 N-ELEMENT	2.80	L/A FOR STAGE-1 P-ELEMENT	2.33
L/A FOR STAGE-2 N-ELEMENT	0.00	L/A FOR STAGE-2 P-ELEMENT	0.00
L/A FOR STAGE-3 N-ELEMENT	-0.00	L/A FOR STAGE-3 P-ELEMENT	-0.00
DENSITY FOR STAGE-1 OF N-ELEMENT	-0.0179	DENSITY FOR STAGE-1 OF P-ELEMENT	0.0179
DENSITY FOR STAGE-2 OF N-ELEMENT	0.0000	DENSITY FOR STAGE-2 OF P-ELEMENT	0.0000
DENSITY FOR STAGE-3 OF N-ELEMENT	-0.0000	DENSITY FOR STAGE-3 OF P-ELEMENT	-0.0000
NO. OF SEGMENTS FOR T/E ELEMENTS	1.00	EVEN, 1.00 EITHER, 0.00, OF ELEMENTS IN MODULE WIDTH	0.00
DENSITY OF HARDWARE RELATED TO THE T/E S	0.0176	DENSITY OF MODULE CLAD MATERIAL	0.0000
DENSITY OF T/E SHOF MATERIAL	0.0173	DENSITY OF INTER-ELEMENT INSULATION MATERIAL	0.0071
T/E HARDWARE THICKNESS FOR CONDUCTION MODE OF HT FOR 1.100	0.0000	T/E HARDWARE THICKNESS FOR RADIATION MODE OF HT TRAN 0.000	0.0000
RADIATION GAP BETWEEN HEAT SOURCE AND MODULE	0.000	THICKNESS OF T/E ELEMENT SHOES	0.127
MODULE CAN WALL THICKNESS	0.000	SEPARATION BETWEEN MODULE WALL AND GENERATOR INS.	0.025
THICKNESS OF INTER-ELEMENT INSULATION	0.013	EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF MODULE CAN WALL	0.0000
EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF INTER-ELEMENT INS	0.0050		

FUEL-FORM IMPACT CLAD INPUTS

FUEL-FORM CLAD MATERIAL	HASTELLOY C	INNER LINER CLAD MATERIAL	NONE USED
-------------------------	-------------	---------------------------	-----------

OUTER-SHELL CLAD MATERIAL	NONE USED	FUEL TUBE MATERIAL	MOLYBDENUM
TOUGHNESS PARAMETER END FUEL FORM CLAD	0.321E+04	THICKNESS OF CLAD INNER LINER	0.000
THICKNESS OF OUTER SHELL CLAD	0.000	THICKNESS OF FUEL TUBE	0.200
DENSITY OF FUEL FORM CLAD	0.0201	DENSITY OF CLAD INNER LINER	0.0000
DENSITY OF OUTER SHELL CLAD	0.0000	DENSITY OF FUEL TUBE	0.0195

FUEL-FORM INPUTS

FUEL FORM MATERIAL	PU-238 OXIDE	FUEL BLOCK MATERIAL	GRAPHITE
FUEL FORM POWER DENSITY	3.60	NORMALIZED MATRIX DENSITY FOR FUEL PIN ARRAY	00.60
FUEL STA EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR	1.00	FUEL PIN ARRAY OPTION (0-CIRCLE, 1-CLOSE PACK)	1.00
FUEL PIN TO FUEL TUBE SEPARATION	0.500	FUEL PIN SEPARATION	00.50
NO. OF FUEL PINS	10.00	EFFECTIVE SURFACE PWR. DENS. AVAILABLE FOR MODULE	10.00
HEAT TRANSFER TO MODULE (1-CONDUCTION, 2-RADIATION)	1.00	DENSITY OF FUEL BLOCK	0.0198
DENSITY OF FUEL FORM	0.0251	DENSITY OF FUEL PIN	0.0251
LIMITING ASPECT RATIO FOR FUEL FORM	2.50	LIMITING ASPECT RATIO FOR FUEL PIN	4.00

HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT INPUTS

END HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT MATERIAL	MIN-K 2000	RADIAL HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT MATERIAL	MIN-K 2000
END INTERNAL INSULATION MATERIAL	MIN-K 2000	RADIAL THERMAL INSULATION MATERIAL	MIN-K 2000
ABSOLUTE END SUPPORT (0-ALL END OR 1-SOME RADIAL)	1.00	ABSOLUTE RADIAL SUPPORT (0-ALL RADIAL OR 1-SOME END)	1.00
END SUPPORT ELASTIC MODULUS (COMPRESSION)	0.20E+04	RADIAL SUPPORT ELASTIC MODULUS (COMPRESSION)	0.20E+04
END SUPPORT ELASTIC MODULUS (SHEAR)	0.E+00	RADIAL SUPPORT ELASTIC MODULUS (SHEAR)	0.E+00
GEN LENGTH INDEPENDENT OF END DEFLECTION (0-NO, 1-YES)	1.00	END SUPPORT FOIL SEPARATION	0.000
RADIAL SUPPORT FOIL SEPARATION	0.000	END INSULATION FOIL SEPARATION	0.000
RADIAL INSULATION FOIL SEPARATION	0.000	END SUPPORT THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY	0.0008
RADIAL SUPPORT THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY	0.0008	END INSULATION THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY	0.0008
RADIAL INSULATION THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY	0.0008	END SUPPORT DENSITY	0.0009
RADIAL SUPPORT DENSITY	0.0009	END INSULATION DENSITY	0.0009
RADIAL INSULATION DENSITY	0.0009	MAX ALLOWED END SUPPORT DEFLECTION	0.0508

MAX ALLOWED RADIAL SUPPORT DEFLECTION

0.0508

GENERATOR SHELL INPUTS

GENERATOR SHELL MATERIAL	FINAL VALUE FOR GENERATOR LENGTH	100.00
NOMINAL GENERATOR LENGTH INCREMENT	INITIAL VALUE FOR GENERATOR LENGTH	160.00
DENSITY OF GENERATOR SHELL	THICKNESS OF END ABLATOR	0.000
RADIAL ABLATOR THICKNESS	THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF GENERATOR SHELL	1.370
LIMIT FOR GENERATOR ENVELOPE ASPECT RATIO	LIMIT FOR GENERATOR SHELL ASPECT RATIO	25.000
TOTAL HEAT PUMP CAPABILITY END ENDS (PERCENT)	GENERATOR SHELL THICKNESS	00.50

HTG ANALYSIS COMPUTATION OPTIONS

TYPE ARRAY COMPUTATION SEQUENCE	1.00 GENERATOR LENGTH COMPUTATION SEQUENCE	1.00
TYPE ELEMENT LENGTH COMPUTATION SEQUENCE	0.00 FUEL FORM GEOMETRY OPTION	1.000
HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT COMPUTATION OPTION	0.00 END INSULATION COMPUTATION OPTION	0.00
INSULATION AND HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT OPTION	0.00 INSULATION AND HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT MATERIAL OPTION	1.00
PRINT OPTION FOR OUTPUT DATA	0.00 PLOT OPTION	1.00

TEMPERATURES

T/F COLD JUNCTION TEMPERATURE	500.0 THERMAL INSULATION COLD SIDE TEMPERATURE	485.0
T/F HOT JUNCTION TEMPERATURE	800.0 THERMAL INSULATION HOT SIDE TEMPERATURE	813.0
HEAT SOURCE SURFACE TEMPERATURE	813.0 RADIATOR FIN AND GENERATOR SHELL TEMPERATURE	484.0

GENERAL DESIGN INPUTS

ASSUMED ENGINEERING EFFICIENCY	0.936 MIN ALLOWED T/E ELEMENT LENGTH	0.800
MAX ALLOWED T/E ELEMENT LENGTH	3.000 AXIAL G-LOAD	30.00
RADIAL G-LOAD	30.00 GENERATOR POWER LEVEL	250.0
HEAT SOURCE TARGET VELOCITY	99.4 END OF MISSION T/E EFFICIENCY	0.0546

THE PRINT OUT ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE IS FOR THE MINIMUM WEIGHT GENERATOR WHICH COULD BE DESIGNED
BY THIS COMPUTER CODE WITH YOUR INPUT DATA

ADDITIONAL HEAT SOURCE LENGTH EXCEEDS MODULE LENGTH BY MORE THAN ONE HEAT SOURCE DIAMETER
FOR LOW THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY HEAT SOURCES THE MODULE HEAT SOURCE MISMATCH MAY RESULT IN A LOWER
ENGINEERING EFFICIENCY THAN HAS BEEN CALCULATED HERE
HEAT SOURCE SURFACE TEMPERATURES MAY ALSO EXCEED DESIRED LIMITS

GENERATOR LENGTH = 195.0

SUMMARY OF OUTPUT DATA
=====

I GEOMETRIC DESIGN DATA		(CM)	(IN)	II COMPONENT WEIGHTS	(LB)	(KG)

OVER ALL GENERATOR LENGTH		196.	77.2	TOTAL GENERATOR WEIGHT	189.2	85.9
RADIATOR FIN HEIGHT		19.3	7.6	HEAT SOURCE WEIGHT	104.0	47.2
DIAMETER OF GENERATOR SHELL (O.D.)		11.72	4.62	MODULE WEIGHT	35.5	16.1
DIAMETER OF GENERATOR SHELL (I.D.)		11.72	4.22	RADIATOR FIN WEIGHT	11.2	5.1
BASE THICKNESS OF RADIATOR FIN		.304	0.125	END HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT WEIGHT	00.12	00.05
DIAMETER OF HEAT SOURCE		5.72	2.25	RAJIAL HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT WEIGHT	1.24	00.56
DIAMETER OF FUEL BLOCK		5.72	2.25	GENERATOR SHELL WEIGHT	13.82	6.28
LENGTH OF HEAT SOURCE		195.0	74.85			
LENGTH OF SEGMENT OF HEAT SOURCE		3.8	1.53			
DIAMETER OF FUEL PIN		1.18	00.47	III HEAT BALANCE AND THERMAL INVENTORY	(WATTS)	
DEPTH OF MODULE		1.654	0.651	GENERATOR OUTPUT POWER (P)	259.0	
WIDTH OF MODULE		3.2	1.24	GENERATOR INPUT POWER (TH)	4870.2	
LENGTH OF MODULE		47.97	31.88	HEAT DUMPED BY RADIATOR (TH)	3374.0	
LENGTH OF T/E ELEMENTS		1.40	0.551	HEAT DUMPED BY ENDS (TH)	30.2	
NO. OF HEAT SOURCE SEGMENTS		50.		GENERATOR ENGINEERING EFFICIENCY(DECIMAL)	0.940	
NO. OF FUEL PINS		500.		T/E COUPLE EFFICIENCY (DECIMAL)	0.0546	
NO. OF T/E COUPLES IN GENERATOR		194.				
NO. OF T/E COUPLES PER MODULE		22.				
NO. OF T/E ELEMENTS IN WIDTH OF MODULE		4.				

OUTPUT DATA =====

I GEOMETRIC DESIGN DATA		(CM)	(IN)		
1 MODULE AND T/E					
DEPTH OF MODULE		1.654	5.651	NO. OF T/E COUPLES PER MODULE	220.
WIDTH OF MODULE		3.24	1.26	T/E ELEMENT LENGTH ITERATION NO.	1.
LENGTH OF MODULE		37.97	31.88	NO. OF COUPLES IN LENGTH OF MODULE	55.0
T/E ELEMENT LENGTH		1.40	5.551	NO. OF COUPLES IN WIDTH OF MODULE	4.0
STAGE 1 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL N-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		1.40	5.551	2 HEAT SOURCE AND FUEL FORM	(C4) (IN)
STAGE 1 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL P-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		1.40	5.551	HEAT SOURCE DIAMETER	5.72 2.25
STAGE 2 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL N-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		.000	.000	FUEL BLOCK DIAMETER	5.72 2.25
STAGE 2 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL P-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		.000	.000	HEAT SOURCE LENGTH	190.0 74.8
STAGE 3 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL N-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		.000	.000	HEAT SOURCE SEGMENT LENGTH	3.80 1.50
STAGE 3 LENGTH FOR SEQUENTIAL P-TYPE T/E ELEMENT		.000	.000	FUEL PIN CENTER TO CENTER SEPARATION	0.00 0.00
DEPTH OF N-TYPE ELEMENT		.645	2.254	FUEL PIN DIAMETER	1.18 00.47
DEPTH OF O-TYPE ELEMENT		.775	3.305	THICKNESS OF FUEL FORM CLAD	0.102 0.040
WIDTH OF N-TYPE ELEMENT		.775	3.305	THICKNESS OF FUEL FORM CLAD LINER	0.000 0.000
WIDTH OF O-TYPE ELEMENT		.775	3.305	THICKNESS OF FUEL FORM OVER-CLAD	0.000 0.000
AREA OF MODULE PERPENDICULAR TO HEAT FLOW		329.3	40.2	THICKNESS OF FUEL TUBE	0.200 0.079
HEAT LOSS AREA FOR MODULE ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL INSULATION		34.48	13.1	DIAMETER OF FUEL FORM	00.98 00.39
HEAT LOSS AREA FOR MODULE PERIPHERY		5.00	5.00	LENGTH OF FUEL FORM	179.8 70.8
NO. OF T/E COUPLES IN GENERATOR		1594.		NO. OF FUEL PINS	500.
				NO. OF CLAD ITERATIONS	10.

OUTPUT DATA (CONTINUED)
=====

1 GEOMETRIC DESIGN DATA (CONTINUED)

2 HEAT SOURCE AND FUEL FORM (CONTINUED)

	(CM)	(IN)	4 INSULATION AND HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT	(CM)	(IN)
LENGTH OF FUEL FORM SEGMENT	3.05	1.42	END SEPARATION BETWEEN HEAT SOURCE AND SHELL	2.501	0.984
LENGTH INCREMENT TO SIZE FUEL FORM	0.038	0.012	RADIAL SEPARATION BETWEEN HEAT SOURCE AND SHELL	2.500	0.984
TOLERANCE ON LENGTH OF FUEL FORM	0.038	0.012	HEAT SOURCE DEFLECTION AGAINST END SUPPORT	0.152	0.060
FUEL FORM VOLUME	1352.8	42.5	HEAT SOURCE DEFLECTION AGAINST RADIAL SUPPORT	0.018	0.007
FUEL WT. VOLUME	2.71	0.16	HEAT LOSS AREA FOR RADIAL INSULATION	675.8	104.8
RATIO OF FUEL FORM LENGTH TO DIAMETER	1.17		HEAT LOSS AREA FOR END INSULATION	0.00	0.00
NO. OF FUEL FORM SEGMENTS	5		END AREA AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORT	25.7	4.0
FUEL FORM LENGTH ITERATION NO.	24		AREA REQUIRED FOR END SUPPORT	76.8	11.9

3 RADIATOR FIN AND GENERATOR SHELL

	(CM)	(IN)		(CM)	(IN)
OVER ALL GENERATOR LENGTH	196.0	77.2	RADIAL AREA AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORT	1059.7	164.3
RADIATOR FIN HEIGHT	19.24	7.59	RADIAL AREA REQUIRED FOR SUPPORT	393.8	59.5
RADIATOR FIN BASE THICKNESS	0.314	0.120	HEAT LOSS AREA FOR END HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT	25.7	4.0
OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF GENERATOR SHELL	11.75	4.62	HEAT LOSS AREA FOR RADIAL HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT	383.8	59.5
INSIDE DIAMETER OF GENERATOR SHELL	15.75	4.22	NO. OF END INSULATION ITERATIONS	1.0	
NO. OF LARGE ITERATIONS FOR RADIATOR ANALYSIS	15				
NO. OF SMALL ITERATIONS FOR RADIATOR ANALYSIS	1				

B-8

OUTPUT DATA(CONTINUED)
=====

II COMPONENT WEIGHTS

1 MODULE AND 1/2

WEIGHT OF 1/2 MATERIALS

WEIGHT OF 1/2 HOLLOW

WEIGHT OF MODULE CAP

WEIGHT OF 1/2 MODULE

2 HEAT SOURCE AND FUEL FORM

FUEL FORM WEIGHT

FUEL FORM SEGMENT WEIGHT

FUEL WTA WEIGHT

CLAD FUEL PIN WEIGHT

FUEL TUBE WEIGHT

FUEL BLOCK WEIGHT

WEIGHT OF CLAD LINED

WEIGHT OF OUTER CLAD

PRIMARY CLAD WEIGHT

WEIGHT OF BEENTY ANGLER

HEAT SOURCE TOTAL WEIGHT

	(LB)	(KG)	(LB)	(KG)
140.10	85.09	3 RADIATOR FIN AND GENERATOR SHELL		

		RADIATOR FIN WEIGHT	11.24	5.10
35.44	16.10	GENERATOR SHELL WEIGHT	13.82	6.28

19.35	8.76	4 INSULATION AND HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT		
3.5	0.00	-----		
35.44	16.10	END INSULATION WEIGHT	00.29	00.13
		RADIAL INSULATION WEIGHT	3.72	1.69
		END HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT WEIGHT	00.12	00.05
33.04	15.42	RADIAL HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT WEIGHT	1.24	00.56

10.00	00.31			
0.7	00.33			
0.15	00.04			
12.84	5.84			
42.40	19.29			
5.5	0.00			
5.5	0.00			
14.00	6.47			
5.5	0.00			
13.10	47.01			

OUTPUT DATA (CONTINUED)

GENERATOR LENGTH = 196.0

III HEAT BALANCE AND THERMAL INVENTORY		(WATTS)	(WATTS)

1 MODULE AND T/E			

T/E COUPLE EFFICIENCY (1)		85.46	HEAT LOSS THROUGH END HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT 5.40
HEAT LOSS THROUGH MODULE C/W		5.59	HEAT LOSS THROUGH RADIAL HEAT SOURCE SUPPORT 56.05
HEAT LOSS THROUGH ELECTRICAL INSULATION		76.42	TOTAL PARASITIC HEAT LOSS 287.83
SURFACE POWER DENSITY (2)		3.05	

2 HEAT SOURCE AND FUEL FORM			

HEAT SOURCE THERMAL INVENTORY		487.21	CALCULATED ENGINEERING EFFICIENCY (1) 0.9405
FUEL FORM POWER DENSITY (3)		3.6550	GENERATOR POWER LEVEL 250.00

3 RADIATOR FIN AND GENERATOR SHELL			

HEAT SUPPLIED BY RADIATOR FINS		3373.00	
HEAT SUPPLIED BY GENERATOR SHELL ENDS		37.92	

4 INSULATION AND HEAT SOURCE SUPP. RT			

HEAT LOSS THROUGH END INSULATION		6.78	
HEAT LOSS THROUGH RADIAL INSULATION		98.49	
HEAT LOSS THROUGH MODULE WEDGE INSULATION		53.77	
HEAT LOSS BY RADIATION FROM MODULE RADIATOR		45.62	

(1) DECIMAL UNITS
(2) WATTS (TH) PER SQ. CM.
(3) WATTS (TH) PER CIRC CM.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530	531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540	541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550	551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560	561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570	571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580	581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590	591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600	601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610	611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640	641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650	651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660	661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670	671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680	681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700	701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710	711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720	721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730	731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740	741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750	751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760	761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770	771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780	781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790	791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800	801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810	811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820	821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830	831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840	841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850	851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860	861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870	871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880	881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890	891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910	911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920	921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930	931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940	941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950	951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960	961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970	971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980	981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990	991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000	1001	1002	1003	1004	1005	1006	1007	1008	1009	1010	1011	1012	1013	1014	1015	1016	1017	1018	1019	1020	1021	1022	1023	1024	1025	1026	1027	1028	1029	1030	1031	1032	1033	1034	1035	1036	1037	1038	1039	1040	1041	1042	1043	1044	1045	1046	1047	1048	1049	1050	1051	1052	1053	1054	1055	1056	1057	1058	1059	1060	1061	1062	1063	1064	1065	1066	1067	1068	1069	1070	1071	1072	1073	1074	1075	1076	1077	1078	1079	1080	1081	1082	1083	1084	1085	1086	1087	1088	1089	1090	1091	1092	1093	1094	1095	1096	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101	1102	1103	1104	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	1110	1111	1112	1113	1114	1115	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1123	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1141	1142	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1148	1149	1150	1151	1152	1153	1154	1155	1156	1157	1158	1159	1160	1161	1162	1163	1164	1165	1166	1167	1168	1169	1170	1171	1172	1173	1174	1175	1176	1177	1178	1179	1180	1181	1182	1183	1184	1185	1186	1187	1188	1189	1190	1191	1192	1193	1194	1195	1196	1197	1198	1199	1200	1201	1202	1203	1204	1205	1206	1207	1208	1209	1210	1211	1212	1213	1214	1215	1216	1217	1218	1219	1220	1221	1222	1223	1224	1225	1226	1227	1228	1229	1230	1231	1232	1233	1234	1235	1236	1237	1238	1239	1240	1241	1242	1243	1244	1245	1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	1251	1252	1253	1254	1255	1256	1257	1258	1259	1260	1261	1262	1263	1264	1265	1266	1267	1268	1269	1270	1271	1272	1273	1274	1275	1276	1277	1278	1279	1280	1281	1282	1283	1284	1285	1286	1287	1288	1289	1290	1291	1292	1293	1294	1295	1296	1297	1298	1299	1300	1301	1302	1303	1304	1305	1306	1307	1308	1309	1310	1311	1312	1313	1314	1315	1316	1317	1318	1319	1320	1321	1322	1323	1324	1325	1326	1327	1328	1329	1330	1331	1332	1333	1334	1335	1336	1337	1338	1339	1340	1341	1342	1343	1344	1345	1346	1347	1348	1349	1350	1351	1352	1353	1354	1355	1356	1357	1358	1359	1360	1361	1362	1363	1364	1365	1366	1367	1368	1369	1370	1371	1372	1373	1374	1375	1376	1377	1378	1379	1380	1381	1382	1383	1384	1385	1386	1387	1388	1389	1390	1391	1392	1393	1394	1395	1396	1397	1398	1399	1400	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	1410	1411	1412	1413	1414	1415	1416	1417	1418	1419	1420	1421	1422	1423	1424	1425	1426	1427	1428	1429	1430	1431	1432	1433	1434	1435	1436	1437	1438	1439	1440	1441	1442	1443	1444	1445	1446	1447	1448	1449	1450	1451	1452	1453	1454	1455	1456	1457	1458	1459	1460	1461	1462	1463	1464	1465	1466	1467	1468	1469	1470	1471	1472	1473	1474	1475	1476	1477	1478	1479	1480	1481	1482	1483	1484	1485	1486	1487	1488	1489	1490	1491
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

ELAPSED TIME ROUNDY TEMP. RAD. H.T. COEF
(HOURS) (KELVIN) (CAL/SEC K SQCM.)

0.5000E+04 0.5000E+01 0.E+00

POWER(CPL) EFFICIENCY(CPL) POWER(RTG),EFFICIENCY(RTG) SPECIFIC PWR NORM. POWER INT. RESIS. CURRENT
(WATTS) (DEC.PERCENT) (WATTS) (DEC.PERCENT) (DECIMAL) (OHMS) (AMPS)

0.228 0.055 256.115 0.046 1.329 1.000 24.784 3.445

TEMP DIST NLEG SEEBECK C.NLEG TEMP DIST PLEG SEEBECK C.PLEG
(KELVIN) (MICROVOLTS/K) (KELVIN) (MICROVOLTS/K)

0.7980E+03	0.2451E-03	0.7988E+03	0.2818E-03
0.7838E+03	0.2474E-03	0.7838E+03	0.2800E-03
0.7689E+03	0.2492E-03	0.7689E+03	0.2782E-03
0.7539E+03	0.2505E-03	0.7539E+03	0.2760E-03
0.7390E+03	0.2512E-03	0.7390E+03	0.2731E-03
0.7240E+03	0.2514E-03	0.7240E+03	0.2697E-03
0.7091E+03	0.2510E-03	0.7091E+03	0.2655E-03
0.6941E+03	0.2500E-03	0.6941E+03	0.2607E-03
0.6792E+03	0.2484E-03	0.6792E+03	0.2552E-03
0.6642E+03	0.2461E-03	0.6642E+03	0.2491E-03
0.6493E+03	0.2433E-03	0.6493E+03	0.2425E-03
0.6343E+03	0.2433E-03	0.6343E+03	0.2425E-03
0.6194E+03	0.2397E-03	0.6194E+03	0.2355E-03
0.6044E+03	0.2356E-03	0.6044E+03	0.2282E-03
0.5895E+03	0.2309E-03	0.5895E+03	0.2205E-03
0.5745E+03	0.2256E-03	0.5745E+03	0.2127E-03
0.5596E+03	0.2199E-03	0.5596E+03	0.2046E-03
0.5446E+03	0.2138E-03	0.5446E+03	0.1963E-03
0.5297E+03	0.2074E-03	0.5297E+03	0.1879E-03
0.5147E+03	0.2007E-03	0.5147E+03	0.1794E-03
	0.1938E-03		0.1707E-03

TEMP DIST NLEG RESISTIVITY NLEG THERM COND NLEG TEMP DIST PLEG THERM COND PLEG
(KELVIN) (MICROOHM-CM) (WATTS/CM-K) (KELVIN) (MICROOHM-CM) (WATTS/CM-K)

0.7913E+03	0.6511E-02	0.1700E-01	0.7913E+03	0.5398E-02	0.1115E-01
0.7763E+03	0.6350E-02	0.1659E-01	0.7763E+03	0.5232E-02	0.1099E-01
0.7614E+03	0.6188E-02	0.1615E-01	0.7614E+03	0.5065E-02	0.1088E-01
0.7464E+03	0.6020E-02	0.1573E-01	0.7464E+03	0.4890E-02	0.1082E-01
0.7315E+03	0.5844E-02	0.1536E-01	0.7315E+03	0.4703E-02	0.1081E-01
0.7165E+03	0.5659E-02	0.1507E-01	0.7165E+03	0.4503E-02	0.1085E-01
0.7016E+03	0.5463E-02	0.1484E-01	0.7016E+03	0.4292E-02	0.1094E-01
0.6866E+03	0.5258E-02	0.1470E-01	0.6866E+03	0.4071E-02	0.1106E-01
0.6717E+03	0.5045E-02	0.1463E-01	0.6717E+03	0.3843E-02	0.1120E-01
0.6567E+03	0.4824E-02	0.1462E-01	0.6567E+03	0.3612E-02	0.1137E-01
0.6418E+03	0.4597E-02	0.1466E-01	0.6418E+03	0.3380E-02	0.1156E-01
0.6268E+03	0.4367E-02	0.1475E-01	0.6268E+03	0.3151E-02	0.1179E-01
0.6119E+03	0.4133E-02	0.1487E-01	0.6119E+03	0.2927E-02	0.1206E-01
0.5969E+03	0.3897E-02	0.1503E-01	0.5969E+03	0.2711E-02	0.1238E-01
0.5820E+03	0.3661E-02	0.1521E-01	0.5820E+03	0.2504E-02	0.1276E-01
0.5670E+03	0.3425E-02	0.1543E-01	0.5670E+03	0.2308E-02	0.1322E-01
0.5521E+03	0.3191E-02	0.1566E-01	0.5521E+03	0.2123E-02	0.1377E-01
0.5371E+03	0.2959E-02	0.1593E-01	0.5371E+03	0.1950E-02	0.1442E-01
0.5222E+03	0.2731E-02	0.1624E-01	0.5222E+03	0.1788E-02	0.1517E-01
0.5072E+03	0.2507E-02	0.1658E-01	0.5072E+03	0.1638E-02	0.1603E-01

TEMP (N,P)	SECT.LENGTH(N,P)	EL.WIDTH(N,P)	EL.DEPTH(N,P)	EL.DIAM. (N,P)
(KELVIN)	(CENTIMETERS)	(CENTIMETERS)	(CENTIMETERS)	(CENTIMETERS)
0.7913E+03	0.8420E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7763E+03	0.8494E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7614E+03	0.8153E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7464E+03	0.7827E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7315E+03	0.7532E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7165E+03	0.7276E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7016E+03	0.7061E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6866E+03	0.6885E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6717E+03	0.6744E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6567E+03	0.6632E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6418E+03	0.6546E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6268E+03	0.6508E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.6119E+03	0.6461E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5969E+03	0.6428E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5820E+03	0.6405E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5670E+03	0.6394E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5521E+03	0.6395E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5371E+03	0.6408E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5222E+03	0.6436E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.5072E+03	0.6441E-01	0.7746E+00	0.6455E+00	0.7979E+00
0.7913E+03	0.7803E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7763E+03	0.7533E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7614E+03	0.7303E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7464E+03	0.7116E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7315E+03	0.6967E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7165E+03	0.6850E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.7016E+03	0.6740E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6866E+03	0.6689E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6717E+03	0.6635E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6567E+03	0.6596E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6418E+03	0.6572E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6268E+03	0.6630E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.6119E+03	0.6649E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5969E+03	0.6696E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5820E+03	0.6777E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5670E+03	0.6898E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5521E+03	0.7064E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5371E+03	0.7276E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5222E+03	0.7536E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00
0.5072E+03	0.7840E-01	0.7746E+00	0.7746E+00	0.8740E+00

NCUE	TEMP (K)	NODE	TEMP (K)	NODE	TEMP (K)	NODE	TEMP (K)
1	813.5	11	476.4	21	695.5	31	544.2
2	813.9	12	476.4	22	679.6	32	530.7
3	813.9	13	796.1	23	663.7	33	517.7
4	594.0	14	498.9	24	647.9	34	505.1
5	529.8	15	788.7	25	632.3	35	480.2
6	594.0	16	773.7	26	616.8	36	430.2
7	494.0	17	758.5	27	601.7	37	359.8
8	480.9	18	743.1	28	586.8	38	306.8
9	476.9	19	727.4	29	572.2	39	*000.0
10	477.0	20	711.5	30	558.0	40	-0.0
647.5	647.5	0.7	0.8	0.7			
0.100E+01	0.100E+01	0.241E-03	0.246E-03	0.246E-03			
0.537E-02	0.520E-02	0.504E-02	0.486E-02	0.467E-02	0.447E-02	0.426E-02	
0.404E-02	0.381E-02	0.358E-02	0.335E-02	0.313E-02	0.290E-02	0.269E-02	
0.249E-02	0.229E-02	0.211E-02	0.194E-02	0.178E-02	0.163E-02	0.148E-02	
0.632E-02	0.616E-02	0.599E-02	0.582E-02	0.563E-02	0.544E-02	0.523E-02	
0.502E-02	0.480E-02	0.457E-02	0.434E-02	0.411E-02	0.387E-02	0.364E-02	
0.341E-02	0.317E-02	0.294E-02	0.272E-02	0.249E-02	0.201E-03	0.150E-01	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	0.1E+00	
0.273E-03	0.269E-03	0.265E-03	0.260E-03	0.280E-03	0.278E-03	0.276E-03	
0.242E-03	0.235E-03	0.227E-03	0.220E-03	0.254E-03	0.248E-03	0.242E-03	
0.187E-03	0.179E-03	0.246E-03	0.248E-03	0.212E-03	0.204E-03	0.196E-03	
0.251E-03	0.251E-03	0.250E-03	0.248E-03	0.249E-03	0.251E-03	0.251E-03	
0.239E-03	0.235E-03	0.230E-03	0.225E-03	0.246E-03	0.243E-03	0.243E-03	
0.200E-03	0.169E-01	0.165E-01	0.161E-01	0.219E-03	0.213E-03	0.207E-03	
0.148E-01	0.147E-01	0.140E-01	0.146E-01	0.157E-01	0.153E-01	0.150E-01	
0.150E-01	0.152E-01	0.154E-01	0.157E-01	0.147E-01	0.148E-01	0.149E-01	
0.111E-01	0.110E-01	0.109E-01	0.108E-01	0.160E-01	0.163E-01	0.166E-01	
0.111E-01	0.112E-01	0.114E-01	0.116E-01	0.108E-01	0.109E-01	0.110E-01	
0.128E-01	0.133E-01	0.138E-01	0.145E-01	0.118E-01	0.121E-01	0.124E-01	
				0.152E-01	0.161E-01	0.100E+00	
0.116-127	0.658E+29	0.149E+02	0.157-124	0.882E-01	0.780E-01	0.500E+00	
0.600E+00	0.796E+03	0.140E+01	0.140E+01	0.500E-01	0.200E-03	0.438E-04	
0.657E-05	0.200E+02	0.200E+02	0.192E-01	0.499E+03	0.193E-03	0.170E-03	




FIGURE B-1. CALCULATED RTG NORMALIZED POWER
 VERSUS TIME